arXiv:math/0609161v2 [math.AP] 16 Oct 2006

Blow-up in Nonlinear Heat Equations

S. Dejak[1*], Zhou Gang[1*], I.M.Sigal[1*], S. Wang[2]

February 2, 2008

[1]Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
[2]Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, U.S.A.

Abstract

In this paper we study the blowup problem of nonlinear heat equations. Our result show that for a certain family of initial conditions the solution will blowup in finite time, the blowup parameters satisfy some dynamics which are asymptotic stable, moreover we provide the remainder estimates. Compare to the previous works our approach is analogous to one used in bifurcation theory and our techniques can be regarded as a time-dependent version of the Lyapunov-Schmidt decomposition.

1 Introduction

We study the blow-up problem for the one-dimensional nonlinear heat equations (or the reaction-diffusion equations) of the form

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u_t &=& \partial_x^2 u + |u|^{p-1}u \\ u(x,0) &=& u_0(x) \end{array} \tag{1}$$

with $p > 1$. Equation (1) arises in the problem of heat flow and the theory of chemical reactions. Similar equations appear in the motion by mean curvature flow (see [43]), vortex dynamics in superconductors (see [8, 32]), surface diffusion (see [2]) and chemotaxis (see [4, 3]). Equation (1) has the following properties:

- (1) is invariant with respect to the scaling transformation,

  $$u(x,t) \to \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) \tag{2}$$

  for any constant $\lambda > 0$, i.e. if $u(x,t)$ is a solution, so is $\lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$.

- (1) has $x-$independent of x (homogeneous) solutions:

  $$u_{hom} = [u_0^{-p+1} - (p-1)t]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}. \tag{3}$$

---

[*]Supported by NSERC under Grant NA7901.

These solutions blow up in finite time $t^* = \Big((p-1)u_0^{p-1}\Big)^{-1}$ for $p > 1$.

- (1) is an L^2-gradient system $\partial_t u = -\mathrm{grad}\,\mathcal{E}(u)$, with the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(u) := \int \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}u^{p+1}. \tag{4}$$

(With the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ metric, $\mathrm{grad}\,\mathcal{E}$ is defined by the relation $\partial\mathcal{E}(u)\xi = \langle \mathrm{grad}\,\mathcal{E}(u), \xi\rangle$, so that $\mathrm{grad}\,\mathcal{E}(u) = -(\partial_x^2 u + u^p)$.) We immediately have that the energy $\mathcal{E}$ decreases under the flow of (1).

The linearization of (1) around u_{hom} shows that the solution u_{hom} is unstable. Moreover, it is shown in [20] that if either $n \leq 2$ or $p \leq (n+2)/(n-2)$, then (1) in dimension n has no other self-similar solutions of the form $(T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\phi\left(x/\sqrt{T-t}\right)$, $\phi \in L^\infty$, besides u_{hom}.

The local well-posedness of (1) is well known (see, e.g. [1] for H^α, $0 \leq \alpha < 2$). Moreover for some data $u_0(x)$, the solutions $u(x,t)$ might blowup in finite time $T > 0$. Thus, two key problems about (1) are

1. Describe initial conditions for which solutions of Equation (1) blowup in finite time;
2. Describe the blowup profile of such solutions.

It is expected (see e.g. [5]) that the blowup profile is universal − it is independent of lower power perturbations of the nonlinearity and of initial conditions within certain spaces.

There is rich literature regarding the blowup problem for Equation (1). We review quickly relevant results. Starting with [18], various criteria for blow-up in finite time were derived, see e.g. [18, 1, 9, 11, 29, 30, 37, 39, 44, 13, 17]. For example, if $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}^1 \cap L^{p+1}$ and $\mathcal{E}(u_0) < 0$, where $\mathcal{E}(u)$ is the energy functional for (1) defined in (4), then it is proved in [29] that $\|u(t)\|_2^2$ blows up in finite time t^*. By the observation

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq \|u(t)\|_\infty^{p-1}\|u(t)\|_2^2$$

we have that $\|u(t)\|_\infty$ blows up in finite time $t^{**} \leq t^*$ also. (In this paper, we denote the norms in the L^p spaces by $\|\cdot\|_p$.)

Recall that a solution $u(x,t)$ is said to blowup at time t^* if it exists in L^∞ for $[0,t^*)$ and $\sup_x |u(x,t)| \to \infty$ as $t \to t^*$. The first result on asymptotics of the blowup was obtained in the pioneering paper [20] where the authors show that under the conditions

$$|u(x,t)|(t_* - t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \text{ is bounded on } B_1 \times (0, t_*), \tag{5}$$

where B_1 is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ centred at the origin, and either $p \leq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ or $n \leq 2$ and assuming blowup takes place at $x = 0$, one has

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}}u(\lambda x, t_* + \lambda^2(t - t_*)) = \pm\left(\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(t_* - t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \text{ or } 0.$$

This result was further improved in several papers (see e.g. [22, 21, 25, 14, 31, 45, 15, 16, 17, 5, 34, 35, 36]). A blowup solution satisfying the bound (5) is said to be of type I. This bound was proven under various conditions in [22, 34, 35, 47, 23]. Furthermore, the limits of H^1-blowup solutions $u(x,t)$ as $t \uparrow T$, outside the blowup sets were established in [25, 14, 31, 45, 15, 16, 17, 5, 36, 12].

For $p > 1$, Herrero and Velázquez [26] (see also [15]) proved that if the initial condition u_0 is continuous, nonnegative, bounded, even and has only one local maximum at 0, and if the corresponding solution blows up, then

$$\lim_{t \uparrow t^*} (t^* - t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u(y((t^* - t) ln|t^* - t|)^{1/2}, t) = (p-1)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} [1 + \frac{p-1}{4p} y^2]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \tag{6}$$

uniformly on sets $|y| \le R$ with $R > 0$. Further extensions of this result are achieved in [25, 45, 14, 15].

Later Bricmont and Kupiainen [5] constructed a co-dimension 2 submanifold, of initial conditions such that (6) is satisfied on the whole domain. More specifically, given a small function g and a small constant $b > 0$, they find constants d_0 and d_1 depending on g and b such that the solution to (1) with the datum

$$u_0^*(x) = (p - 1 + bx^2)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} (1 + \frac{d_0 + d_1 x}{p - 1 + bx^2})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + g(x) \tag{7}$$

has the convergence (6) uniformly in $y \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. The result of [5] was generalized in [33, 12] (see also [19]), where it is shown that there exists a neighborhood U, in the space $\mathcal{H} := L^{p+1} \cap \mathcal{H}^1$, of u_0^*, given in (7), such that if $u_0 \in U$, then the solution $u(x,t)$ blows up in a finite time t^* and satisfies (6) for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. They conjectured that this asymptotic behavior is generic for any blow-up solution.

The starting point in the above works, which goes back to Giga and Kohn [20], is passing to the similarity variables $y := x/\sqrt{t^* - t}$ and $s := -\log(t^* - t)$, where t^* is the blowup time, and to the rescaled function $w(y,s) = (t^* - t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u(x,t)$. Then one studies the resulting equation for w:

$$\partial_s w = \partial_y^2 w - \frac{1}{2} y \partial_y w - \frac{1}{p-1} w + |w|^{p-1} w. \tag{8}$$

Most of the work above uses relations involving the energy functional

$$S(w) := \frac{1}{2} \int \left(|\nabla w|^2 + \frac{1}{p-1} |w|^2 - \frac{2}{p+1} |w|^{p+1} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{4} y^2} \, dy, \tag{9}$$

introduced in [20], and related functionals. In particular, one uses the relation

$$\partial_s S(w) = -\int |\partial_s w|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{4} y^2} \, dy. \tag{10}$$

Remark 1. *Equation (8) is the gradient system* $\partial_s w = -\operatorname{grad} S(u)$ *in the metric space* $L^2(e^{-\frac{a}{4} y^2} \, dx)$. *(*$\operatorname{grad} S(u)$ *is defined by the equation* $\partial S(u) \xi = \langle \operatorname{grad} S(u), \xi \rangle_{L^2(e^{-\frac{a}{4} y^2} \, dy)}$*.) Hence* S *decreases under the flow of* (1) *and so* (10) *implies that* $\partial_s w \to 0$ *as* $s \to \infty$.

Blowup as a single point was studied as early as [46] (see also [17]). In 1992, Merle [31] proved that given an finite number of points x_1, x_2, ..., x_k in $I = (-1,1)$ (or any other domain I in $\mathbb{R}$), there is a positive solution to the nonlinear heat equation which blowups up at time T with blowup points x_1, x_2, ..., x_k. This theorem can be generalized to allow the sign ($+\infty$ or $-\infty$) to be chosen at each blowup point x_i.

In this paper, we consider (1) with initial conditions which are even, have, modulo a small perturbation, a maximum at the origin, are slowly varying near the origin and are sufficiently small, but not necessarily vanishing, for large $|x|$. In particular, the energy $\mathcal{E}(u)$ for such initial conditions might be infinite. We show that the solutions of (1) for such initial conditions blowup in a finite time t^* and we characterize asymptotic dynamics of these solutions. As it turns out, the leading term is given by the expression

$$\lambda(t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}\left[\frac{2c(t)}{p-1+b(t)\lambda(t)^2x^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \tag{11}$$

(cf (6)) where the parameters $\lambda(t)$, $b(t)$ and $c(t)$ obey certain dynamical equations whose solutions give

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lambda(t) & =\lambda_0(t^*-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+o(1)) \\ b(t) & =\frac{(p-1)^2}{4p|ln|t^*-t||}(1+O(\frac{1}{|ln|t^*-t||^{1/2}})) \\ c(t) & =\frac{1}{2}-\frac{p-1}{4p|ln|t^*-t||}(1+O(\frac{1}{ln|t^*-t|})). \end{array} \tag{12}$$

with $\lambda(0)=\sqrt{2c_0+\frac{2}{p-1}b_0}$, $c_0,\ b_0>0$ depends on the initial datum. Here $o(1)$ is in t^*-t. Moreover, we estimate the remainder, the difference between $u(x,t)$ and (11). Our techniques are different from the papers mentioned above, the closest to our approach is [5]. Our main point is that we do not fix the time-dependent scale in the self-similarity (blowup) variables but let its behaviour, as well as behaviour of other parameters (b and c) to be determined by the equation. This approach is analogous to one used in bifurcation theory and our techniques can be regarded as a time-dependent version of the Lyapunov-Schmidt decomposition.

In what follows we use the notation $f\lesssim g$ for two functions f and g satisfying $f\leq Cg$ for some universal constant C. We will also deal, without specifying it, with weak solutions of Equation (1) in some appropriate sense. These solutions can be shown to be classical for $t>0$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose in* (1) *the initial datum* $u_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *is even and satisfy*

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-n}(u_0(x)-(\frac{2c_0}{p-1+b_0x^2})^{\frac{1}{p-1}})\|_\infty\leq\delta_n \tag{13}$$

with $n=0,3, 1/2\leq c_0\leq 2,\ 0\leq b_0,\delta_0\ll 1$ *and* $\delta_3=Cb_0^2$. *Then*

1. *There exists a time* $t^*\in(0,\infty)$ *such that the solution* $u(x,t)$ *blows up at* $t\to t^*$.
2. *When* $t\leq t^*$, *there exist unique positive,* C^1 *functions* $\lambda(t)$, $b(t)$ *and* $c(t)$ *with* $b(t)\lesssim b_0$ *such that* $u(x,t)$ *can be decomposed as*

   $$u(x,t)=\lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(t)[(\frac{2c(t)}{p-1+b(t)\lambda^2(t)x^2})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+\eta(x,t)]$$

   with the fluctuation part, η, *admitting the estimate* $\|\langle\lambda(t)x\rangle^{-3}\eta(x,t)\|_\infty\lesssim b^2(t)$.
3. *The functions* $\lambda(t)$, $a(t)$, $b(t)$ *and* $c(t)$ *are of the form (12)..*

The proof is given in Section 6. Thus our result shows the blow-up at 0 for a certain neighborhood of the homogeneous solution, (3), with a detailed description of the leading term and an estimate of the remainder in L^∞. In fact, we have not only the asymptotic expressions for the parameters b and c determining the leading term and the size of the remainder, but also dynamical equations for these parameters:

$$b_\tau = -\frac{4p}{(p-1)^2}b^2 + c^{-1}c_\tau b + \mathcal{R}_b(\eta, b, c), \tag{14}$$

$$c^{-1}c_\tau = 2(\frac{1}{2} - c) - \frac{2}{p-1}b + \mathcal{R}_c(\eta, b, c), \tag{15}$$

where τ is a 'blow-up' time related to the original time t as $\tau(t) := \int_0^t \lambda^2(s)ds$, the remainders have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_b(\eta, b, c), \mathcal{R}_c(\eta, b, c) \\ = \quad & \mathrm{O}\left(b^3 + [|c - \tfrac{1}{2}| + |c_\tau|]b^2 + |b_\tau|b + b\|\eta(\cdot, t)\|_X + \|\eta(\cdot, t)\|_X^2 + \|\eta(\cdot, t)\|_X^p\right). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with the norm $\|\eta(\cdot, t)\|_X := \|\langle\lambda(t)x\rangle^{-3}\eta\|_\infty$.

Remark 2. *(a) The restriction* (13) *on the initial condition* $u_0(x)$ *states roughly that mod* $O(b^2)$ $u_0(x)$ *(after initial rescaling if necessary) has a form* $\phi(\sqrt{b(0)}x)$ *for* $|x| \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}$ *with an absolute maximum at* $x = 0$ *and is of the size* δ_0 *for* $|x| \gg \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}$.

(b) We allow for initial conditions to have infinite energy. It seems that previously, blowup for the nonlinear heat equation was studied only for finite energy solutions.

(c) We expect our approach can be extended to general data, to more general nonlinearities and to dimensions ≥ 2.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2-4 we present some preliminary derivations and some motivations for our analysis. In Section 5, we formulate a priori bounds on solutions to (1) which are proven in Sections 8, 11 and 12. We use these bounds in Section 6 in order to prove our main result, Theorem 1. In Sections 7, 9 and 10 we lay the ground work for the proof of the a priori bounds of Section 5. In particular, in Section 7, using a Lyapunov-Schmidt-type argument we derive equations for the parameters a, b and c and fluctuation η. In Section 9 we rescale our equations in a convenient way and in Section 10 we estimate the corresponding propagators. As was mentioned above, the results of Sections 7, 9 and 10 are used in Sections 8, 11 and 12 in order to prove the a priori estimates. The paper has four appendices. In Appendix A, we present a local existence result for (1) in the L^∞ space and a blowup criterion. In Appendix B, we discuss other relations between the parameters a, b and c than the one used in the paper ($c = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{4}$). In Appendix C we investigate the spectrum of the linearized operator. The result of this appendix is not used in the main part of this paper. In Appendix D, we prove a convenient form of the Feynmann-Kac-type formula. It seems the results of Appendices A and D are generally assumed to be known, but we did not find them in the literature, so we included them for the reader's convenience.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank V.S.Buslaev, C.Fefferman, G.M.Graf and A.Soffer for helpful discussions and, especially, Kong Wenbin, Zou Xiangqun for careful reading of the manuscript and many useful suggestions.

2 Blow-Up Variables and Almost Solutions

In this section we pass from the original variables x and t to the blowup variables $y := \lambda(t)(x - x_0(t))$ and $\tau := \int_0^t \lambda^2(s)\,ds$. The point here is that we do not fix $\lambda(t)$ and $x_0(t)$ but consider them as free parameters to be found from the evolution of (1). Assume for simplicity that 0 is a maximum point of u_0 and that u_0 is even with respect to $x = 0$. In this case x_0 can be taken to be 0. Suppose $u(x,t)$ is a solution to (1) with an initial condition $u_0(x)$. We define the new function

$$v(y,\tau) := \lambda^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}(t)u(x,t) \tag{17}$$

with $y := \lambda(t)x$ and $\tau := \int_0^t \lambda^2(s)ds$. The function v satisfies the equation

$$v_\tau = \left(\partial_y^2 - ay\partial_y - \frac{2a}{p-1}\right)v + |v|^{p-1}v. \tag{18}$$

where $a := \lambda^{-3}\partial_t\lambda$. The initial condition is $v(y,0) = \lambda_0^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}u_0(y/\lambda_0)$, where λ_0 is an initial condition for the scaling parameter λ.

If the parameter a is a constant, then (18) has the following homogeneous, static (i.e. y and τ-independent) solutions

$$v_a := \left(\frac{2a}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \tag{19}$$

In the original variables t and x, this family of solutions corresponds to the homogeneous solution (3) of the nonlinear heat equation with the parabolic scaling $\lambda^{-2} = 2a(T-t)$, where the blowup time, $T := \left[u_0^{p-1}(p-1)\right]^{-1}$, is dependent on u_0, the initial value of the homogeneous solution $u_{hom}(t)$.

If the parameter a is τ dependent but $|a_\tau|$ is small, then the above solutions are good approximations to the exact solutions. Another approximation is the solution of the equation $ayv_y + \frac{2a}{p-1}v = v^p$, obtained from (18) by neglecting the τ derivative and second order derivative in y. This equation has the general solution

$$v_{ab} := \left(\frac{2a}{p-1+by^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \tag{20}$$

for all $b \in \mathbb{R}$. (The above equation is equivalent to the equation $\partial_y\left(y^{\frac{2}{p-1}}v\right) = \frac{1}{ay^3}\left(y^{\frac{2}{p-1}}v\right)^p$). In what follows we take $b \geq 0$ so that v_{ab} is nonsingular. Note that $v_{0a} = v_a$.

3 "Gauge" Transform

We assume that the parameter a depends slowly on τ and treat $|a_\tau|$ as a small parameter in a perturbation theory for Equation (18). In order to convert the global non-self-adjoint operator $ay\partial_y$ appearing in this equation into a more tractable local and self-adjoint operator we perform a gauge transform. Let

$$w(y,\tau) := e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}}v(y,\tau). \tag{21}$$

Then w satisfies the equation

$$w_\tau = \left(\partial_y^2 - \frac{1}{4}\omega^2 y^2 - \left(\frac{2}{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) a\right) w + e^{\frac{a}{4}(p-1)y^2}|w|^{p-1}w, \tag{22}$$

where $\omega^2 = a^2 + a_\tau$. The approximate solution v_{ab} to (18) transforms to v_{abc} where $v_{abc} := v_{cb}e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}}$. Explicitly

$$v_{abc} := \left(\frac{2c}{p-1+by^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}}. \tag{23}$$

Equation (22) is the L^2-gradient system with the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(w) := -\int \frac{1}{2} w \left(\partial_y^2 - \frac{1}{4}\omega^2 y^2 - \left(\frac{2}{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) a\right) w - \frac{1}{p+1} e^{\frac{a}{4}(p-1)y^2}|w|^{p+1}\, dy. \tag{24}$$

This energy is related to the functional (9). It satisfies the relation

$$\partial_\tau \mathcal{E}(w)(\tau) = -\int |\partial_s w|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{4}y^2}\, dy.$$

Indeed, multiplying (22) by w_τ, integrating over space and then using that the linear operator in (22) is self-adjoint gives this relation.

4 Reparametrization of Solutions

In this section we split solutions to (22) into the leading term - the almost solution v_{abc} - and a fluctuation ξ around it. More precisely, we would like to parametrize a solution by a point on the manifold $M_{as} := \{v_{abc} \,|\, a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_+, b \leq \epsilon,\ a = a(b,c)\}$ of almost solutions and the fluctuation orthogonal to this manifold (large slow moving and small fast moving parts of the solution). Here $a = a(b,c)$ is a twice differentiable function of b and c. For technical reasons, it is more convenient to require the fluctuation to be almost orthogonal to the manifold M_{as}. More precisely, we require ξ to be orthogonal to the vectors $\phi_{0a} := e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2}$ and $\phi_{2a} := (1-ay^2)e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2}$ which are almost tangent vectors to the above manifold, provided b is sufficiently small. Note that ξ is already orthogonal to $\phi_{1a} := \sqrt{a}ye^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2}$ since our initial conditions, and therefore, the solutions are even in x.

In this section and the rest of the paper except Appendix B we fix the relation between the parameters a, b and c as

$$2c = a + \frac{1}{2}.$$

In Appendix B we prove that under some conditions different functions of $a = a(c,b)$ can be used.

Let $V_{ab} := (\frac{2c}{p-1+by^2})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ with $c = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{4}$. We define a neighborhood:

$$U_{\epsilon_0} := \{v \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid \|e^{-\frac{1}{9}y^2}(v - V_{ab})\|_\infty = o(b) \text{ for some } a \in [1/4, 1],\ b \in [0, \epsilon_0]\ \}.$$

Proposition 2. *There exist an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and a unique C^1 functional $g : U_{\epsilon_0} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$, such that any function $v \in U_{\epsilon_0}$ can be uniquely written in the form*

$$v = V_{g(v)} + \eta, \tag{25}$$

with $\eta \perp e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2}\phi_{0a},\ e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2}\phi_{2a}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $(a, b) = g(v)$. Moreover, if $(a_0, b_0) \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1] \times [0, \varepsilon_0]$ and $\|e^{-\frac{1}{9}y^2}(v - V_{a_0,b_0})\|_\infty = o(b_0)$, then

$$|g(v) - (a_0, b_0)| \lesssim \|e^{-\frac{1}{9}ay^2}(v - V_{a_0b_0})\|_\infty. \tag{26}$$

Proof. The orthogonality conditions on the fluctuation can be written as $G(\mu, v) = 0$, where $\mu = (a, b)$ and $G : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined as

$$G(\mu, v) := \begin{pmatrix} \left\langle V_\mu - v, e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}}\phi_{0a} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle V_\mu - v, e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}}\phi_{2a} \right\rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here and in what follows, all inner products are L^2 inner products. Let $X := e^{\frac{1}{9}y^2}L^\infty$ with the corresponding norm. Using the implicit function theorem we will prove that for any $\mu_0 := (a_0, b_0) \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1] \times (0, \epsilon_0)$ there exists a unique C^1 function $g : X \to \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ defined in a neighborhood $U_{\mu_0} \subset X$ of V_{μ_0} such that $G(g(v), v) = 0$ for all $v \in U_{\mu_0}$. Let $B_\varepsilon(V_{\mu_0})$ and $B_\delta(\mu_0)$ be the balls in X and $\mathbb{R}^2$ around V_{μ_0} and μ_0 and of the radii ε and δ, respectively.

Note first that the mapping G is C^1 and $G(\mu_0, V_{\mu_0}) = 0$ for all μ_0. We claim that the linear map $\partial_\mu G(\mu_0, V_{\mu_0})$ is invertible. Indeed, we compute

$$\partial_\mu G(\mu, v)|_{\mu=\mu_0} = A_1(\mu) + A_2(\mu, v)|_{\mu=\mu_0} \tag{27}$$

where

$$A_1(\mu) := \begin{pmatrix} \left\langle \partial_a V_\mu, e^{-\frac{a}{2}y^2} \right\rangle & \left\langle \partial_b V_\mu, e^{-\frac{a}{2}y^2} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \partial_a V_\mu, (1 - ay^2)e^{-\frac{a}{2}y^2} \right\rangle & \left\langle \partial_b V_\mu, (1 - ay^2)e^{-\frac{a}{2}y^2} \right\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A_2(\mu, v) := -\frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} \langle V_\mu - v, y^2 e^{-\frac{a}{2}y^2} \rangle & 0 \\ \left\langle V_\mu - v, \left(1 - ay^2\right) y^2 e^{-\frac{a}{2}y^2} \right\rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $b > 0$ and small, we expand the matrix A_1 in b to get $A_1 = G_1 G_2 + O(b)$, where the matrices G_1 and G_2 are defined as

$$G_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \langle -y^2 e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}}, e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}} \rangle & \frac{1}{a+\frac{1}{2}} \langle e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}}, e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}} \rangle \\ \langle -y^2 e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}}, (1 - ay^2)e^{-\frac{ay^2}{4}} \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$G_2 := \left(\frac{a + 1/2}{p - 1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{p - 1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p-1}{4} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously the matrices G_1 and G_2 have uniformly (in $a \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1]$) bounded inverses. Furthermore, by the Schwarz inequality

$$\|A_2(\mu_0, v)\| \lesssim \|v - V_{a_0b_0}\|_X.$$

Therefore there exist ε_0 and ε_1 s.t. the matrix $\partial_\mu G(\mu, v)$ has a uniformly bounded inverse for $\mu \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1] \times [0, \varepsilon_0]$ and $v \in \bigcup_{\mu \in [\frac{1}{4},1]\times[0,\varepsilon_0]} B_{\varepsilon_1}(V_\mu)$. Hence by the implicit function theorem, the equation $G(\mu, v) = 0$ has a unique solution $\mu = g(v)$ on a neighborhood of every V_μ, $\mu \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1] \times [0, \varepsilon_0]$, which is C^1 in v. Our next goal is to determine these neighborhoods.

To determine a domain of the function $\mu = g(v)$, we examine closely a proof of the implicit function theorem. Proceeding in a standard way, we expand the function $G(\mu, v)$ in μ around μ_0:

$$G(\mu, v) = G(\mu_0, v) + \partial_\mu G(\mu_0, v)(\mu - \mu_0) + R(\mu, v),$$

where $R(\mu, v) = \mathrm{O}\left(|\mu - \mu_0|^2\right)$ uniformly in $v \in X$. Here $|\mu|^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2$ for $\mu = (a, b)$. Inserting this into the equation $G(\mu, v) = 0$ and inverting the matrix $\partial_\mu G(\mu_0, v)$, we arrive at the fixed point problem $\alpha = \Phi_v(\alpha)$, where $\alpha := \mu - \mu_0$ and $\Phi_v(\alpha) := -\partial_\mu G(\mu_0, v)^{-1}[G(\mu_0, v) + R(\mu, v)]$. By the above estimates there exists an ε_1 such that the matrix $\partial_\mu G(\mu_0, v)^{-1}$ is bounded uniformly in $v \in B_{\varepsilon_1}(V_{\mu_0})$. Hence we obtain from the remainder estimate above that

$$|\Phi_v(\alpha)| \lesssim |G(\mu_0, v)| + |\alpha|^2. \tag{28}$$

Furthermore, using that $\partial_\alpha \Phi_v(\alpha) = -\partial_\mu G(\mu_0, v)^{-1}[G(\mu, v) - G(\mu_0, v) + R(\mu, v)]$ we obtain that there exist $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_1$ and δ such that $\|\partial_\alpha \Phi_v(\alpha)\| \le \frac{1}{2}$ for all $v \in B_\varepsilon(V_{\mu_0})$ and $\alpha \in B_\delta(0)$. Pick ε and δ so that $\varepsilon \ll \delta \ll b_0 \ll 1$. Then, for all $v \in B_\varepsilon(V_{\mu_0})$, Φ_v is a contraction on the ball $B_\delta(0)$ and consequently has a unique fixed point in this ball. This gives a C^1 function $\mu = g(v)$ on $B_\varepsilon(V_{\mu_0})$ satisfying $|\mu - \mu_0| \le \delta$. An important point here is that since $\varepsilon \ll b(0)$ we have that $b > 0$ for all $V_{ab} \in B_\varepsilon(V_{\mu_0})$. Now, clearly, the balls $B_\varepsilon(V_{\mu_0})$ with $\mu_0 \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1] \times [0, \varepsilon_0]$ cover the neighbourhood U_{ε_0}. Hence, the map g is defined on U_{ε_0} and is unique, which implies the first part of the proposition.

Now we prove the second part of the proposition. The definition of the function $G(\mu, v)$ implies $G(\mu_0, v) = G(\mu_0, v - V_{\mu_0})$ and

$$|G(\mu_0, v)| \lesssim \|e^{-\frac{1}{9}y^2}(v - V_{\mu_0})\|_\infty. \tag{29}$$

This inequality together with the estimate (28) and the fixed point equation $\alpha = \Phi_v(\alpha)$, where $\alpha = \mu - \mu_0$ and $\mu = g(v)$, implies $|\alpha| \lesssim \|e^{-\frac{1}{9}y^2}(v - V_{\mu_0})\|_\infty + |\alpha|^2$ which, in turn, yields (26). $\square$

Proposition 3. *In the notation of Proposition 2, if* $\|\langle y\rangle^{-n}(v - V_{a_0 b_0})\|_\infty \le \delta_n$ *with* $n = 0, 3$, $\delta_3 = O(b_0^2)$ *and* δ_0 *small, then*

$$|g(v) - (a_0, b_0)| \lesssim b_0^2, \tag{30}$$

$$\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}(v - V_{g(v)}))\|_\infty \lesssim b_0^2 \tag{31}$$

and

$$\|v - V_{g(v)}\|_\infty \lesssim \delta_0 + b_0. \tag{32}$$

Proof. Let $g(v) = (a, b)$ and $\mu = (a_0, b_0)$. By (28) and the fixed point equation $\alpha = \Phi_v(\alpha)$, we have $\alpha \lesssim |G(\mu_0, v)| + |\alpha|^2$ which, in turn, yields $|\mu - \mu_0| \lesssim |G(\mu_0, v)|$. By (29) and one of the conditions of the proposition, $G(\mu_0, v) = O(b_0^2)$ if $a_0 \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1]$. The last two estimates imply (30). Using Equation (26) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}(v - V_{g(v)})\|_\infty &\le \|\langle y\rangle^{-3}(v - V_{\mu_0})\|_\infty + \|\langle y\rangle^{-3}(V_{g(v)} - V_{\mu_0})\|_\infty \\
&\lesssim \|\langle y\rangle^{-3}(v - V_{\mu_0})\|_\infty + |g(v) - \mu_0| \\
&\lesssim \|\langle y\rangle^{-3}(v - V_{\mu_0})\|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to (31). Finally, to prove Equation (32), we write

$$\|v - V_{g(v)}\|_\infty \leq \|v - V_{a_0,b_0}\|_\infty + \|V_{g(v)} - V_{a_0,b_0}\|_\infty.$$

A straightforward computation gives $\|V_{ab} - V_{a_0b_0}\|_\infty \lesssim |a-a_0| + \frac{|b-b_0|}{b_0}$. Since by (30), $|a-a_0|+|b-b_0| = O(b_0^2)$, we have $\|V_{ab} - V_{a_0b_0}\|_\infty \lesssim b_0$. This together with the fact $\|v - V_{a_0,b_0}\|_\infty \leq \delta_0$ completes the proof of (32). $\square$

5 A priori Estimates

In this section we assume that (1) has a unique solution, $u(x,t)$, $0 \leq t \leq t_*$, such that $v(y,\tau) = \lambda^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}(t)u(x,t)$, where $y = \lambda x$ and $\tau(t) := \int_0^t \lambda^2(s)ds$, is in the neighborhood U_{ϵ_0} determined in Proposition 2. Then by Proposition 2 there exist C^1 functions $a(\tau)$ and $b(\tau)$ such that $v(y,\tau)$ can be represented as

$$v(y,\tau) = \left(\frac{2c}{p-1+by^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}\xi(y,\tau) \tag{33}$$

where $\xi(\cdot,\tau) \perp \phi_{0a}, \phi_{2a}$ (see (25)) and $c = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{4}$ and, by Proposition 3,

$$\|\langle y\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}\xi\|_\infty \lesssim b^2(\tau). \tag{34}$$

Now we set

$$\lambda^{-3}(t)\partial_t\lambda(t) = a(\tau(t)).$$

In this section we present a priori bounds on the fluctuation ξ which are proved in later sections.

We begin with defining convenient estimating functions. Denote by $\chi_{\geq D}$ and $\chi_{\leq D}$ the characteristic functions of the sets $\{|x| \geq D\}$ and $\{|x| \leq D\}$:

$$\chi_{\geq D}(x) := \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } |x| \geq D \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \chi_{\leq D} := 1 - \chi_{\geq D}. \tag{35}$$

We take $D := \frac{C}{\sqrt{\beta}}$ where C is a large constant to be specified in Section 12. Let the function $\beta(\tau)$ and the constant κ be defined as

$$\beta(\tau) := \frac{1}{\frac{1}{b(0)} + \frac{4p}{(p-1)^2}\tau} \text{ and } \kappa := \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\}. \tag{36}$$

For the functions $\xi(\tau)$, $b(\tau)$ and $a(\tau)$ we introduce the following estimating functions (families of semi-norms)

$$\begin{array}{ll} M_1(T) & := \max_{\tau \leq T} \beta^{-2}(\tau)\|\langle y\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\xi(\tau)\|_\infty, \\ M_2(T) & := \max_{\tau \leq T} \|e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\chi_{\geq D}\xi(\tau)\|_\infty, \\ A(T) & := \max_{\tau \leq T} \beta^{-2}(\tau)\left|a(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2b(\tau)}{p-1}\right|, \\ B(T) & := \max_{\tau \leq T} \beta^{-(1+\kappa)}(\tau)|b(\tau) - \beta(\tau)|. \end{array} \tag{37}$$

Proposition 4. *Let ξ to be defined in (33) and assume $M_1(0), A(0), B(0) \lesssim 1$, $M_2(0) \lll 0$. Assume there exists an interval $[0,T]$ such that for $\tau \in [0,T]$,*

$$M_1(\tau), A(\tau),\ B(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\kappa/2}(\tau).$$

Then in the same time interval the parameters a, b and the function ξ satisfy the following estimates

$$|b_\tau(\tau) + \frac{4p}{(p-1)^2} b^2(\tau)| \lesssim \beta^3(\tau) + \beta^3(\tau) M_1(\tau)(1 + A(\tau)) + \beta^4(\tau) M_1^2(\tau) + \beta^{2p} M_1^{2p}(\tau), \tag{38}$$

and

$$B(\tau) \lesssim 1 + M_1(\tau)(1 + A(\tau)) + M_1^2(\tau) + M_1^p(\tau), \tag{39}$$

$$A(\tau) \lesssim A(0) + 1 + \beta(0) M_1(\tau)(1 + A(\tau)) + \beta(0) M_1^2(\tau) + \beta^{2p-2}(0) M_1^p(\tau), \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(\tau) \quad &\lesssim \quad M_1(0) + \beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)[1 + M_1(\tau)A(\tau) + M_1^2(\tau) + M_1^p(\tau)] \\ &\quad\quad + [M_2(\tau)M_1(\tau) + M_1(\tau)M_2^{p-1}(\tau)], \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(\tau) \quad &\lesssim \quad M_2(0) + \beta^{1/2}(0) M_1(0) + M_2^2(\tau) + M_2^p(\tau) \\ &\quad\quad + \beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)[1 + M_2(\tau) + M_1(\tau)A(\tau) + M_1^2(\tau) + M_1^p(\tau)]. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Equations (38)-(40) will be proved in Section 8. Equations (41) and (42) will be proved in Sections 11 and 12 respectively.

6 Proof of Main Theorem 1

We begin with an analysis of the initial conditions. In the next lemma we show that restriction (13) on the initial conditions involving two parameters can be rescaled into a condition involving one parameter.

Lemma 5. *Let u_0 satisfy the condition (13) and let $k_0 := (\sqrt{2c_0 + \frac{2}{p-1} b_0})^{-1/2}$ and $\beta_0 := b_0 k_0^2$. Then we have the estimates*

$$\|\langle k_0 x\rangle^{-n} (k_0^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u_0(k_0 x) - (\frac{1 - \frac{2}{p-1}\beta_0}{p - 1 + \beta_0 x^2})^{\frac{1}{p-1}})\|_\infty \le \delta_n, \; n = 0, 3; \; \delta_3 = C\beta_0^2.$$

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that the function $k_0^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u_0(k_0 x)$ has all the properties above. ☐

Due to this lemma, in what follows, it suffices to consider the condition (13) of Theorem 1 with $c_0 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p-1} b_0$ (since $2c = a + \frac{1}{2}$, this gives $a_0 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1} b_0$):

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-n} (u_0(x) - (\frac{1 - \frac{2}{p-1} b_0}{p - 1 + b_0 x^2})^{\frac{1}{p-1}})\|_\infty \le \delta_n, \; n = 0, 3, \; \delta_3 = C b_0^2. \tag{43}$$

To obtain the statement of Theorem 1 we rescale the result obtained below as

$$u_{thm}(x, t) = k_0^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} u_{pf}(x/k_0, t/k_0^2). \tag{44}$$

Here $u_{thm}(x,t)$ and $u_{pf}(x,t)$ are the solutions $u(x,t)$ appearing in the theorem and in the proof, respectively. This rescaling and the constrain $c = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{4}$ used in the proof give the following relations between the parameters used in the theorem and the proof:

$$\lambda_{thm}(t) = k_0^{-1}\lambda_{pf}(t),\ b_{thm}(t) = b_{pf}(\tau(t)),\ c_{thm}(t) = c_{pf}(\tau(t)). \tag{45}$$

By Theorem 17 (the local existence theorem, proven in Appendix A), there exists $\infty \geq t_* > 0$ such that Equation (1) has a unique solution $u(x,t)$ in $C([0,t_*], L^\infty)$ and, if $t_* < \infty$, then $\|u(\cdot,t)\|_\infty \to \infty$ as $t \to t_*$. Recall that the solutions $u(x,t)$, $v(y,\tau)$ and $w(y,\tau)$ and the corresponding initial conditions are related by the scaling and gauge transformations (see (17) and (21)). Take $\lambda(0) = 1$. Then we have that $u_0(x) = v_0(y)$.

Choose b_0 so that $Cb_0^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0$ with C the same as in (43) and with ϵ_0 given in Proposition 2. Then $v_0 \in U_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0}$, by the condition (43) on the initial conditions with $n = 3$. By continuity there is a (maximal) time $t_\# \leq t_*$ such that $v \in U_{\epsilon_0}$ for $t < t_\#$. For this time interval Propositions 2 and 3 hold for v and, in particular, we have the splitting (33). Recall that we assume $a = 2c - \frac{1}{2}$ in the decomposition (33). This implies that the initial condition can be written in the form

$$v_0(y) = V_{a(0)b(0)}(y) + e^{\frac{a(0)y^2}{4}}\xi_0(y), \tag{46}$$

where $(a(0), b(0)) = g(v_0)$ and $\xi_0 \perp e^{-\frac{a(0)}{4}y^2}, (1 - a(0)y^2)e^{-\frac{a(0)}{4}y^2}$.

By the relation $\beta(0) = b(0)$, Equation (43) and Proposition 3, $A(0)$, $M_1(0) \lesssim 1$ and $M_2(0) \ll 1$, while $B(0) = 0$, by the definition. Since $\beta(\tau) \leq \beta(0) \ll 1$, we have, by the continuity (or by Proposition 3), that for a sufficiently small time interval

$$M_1(\tau),\ B(\tau),\ A(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2(2+p)}}(0) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau), \tag{47}$$

where, recall, the definitions of $\beta(\tau)$ and κ are given in (36). Then Equations (39)-(42) imply that for the same time interval

$$M_1(\tau),\ B(\tau),\ A(\tau) \lesssim 1,\ M_2(\tau) \ll 1. \tag{48}$$

(In fact, $M_i(\tau) \lesssim M_i(0) + \beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0),\ i = 1, 2.$) Indeed, since $M_1(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)$, we can solve (40) for $A(\tau)$. We substitute the result into Eqns (41) - (42) to obtain inequalities involving only the estimating functions $M_1(\tau)$ and $M_2(\tau)$. Consider the resulting inequality for $M_2(\tau)$. The only terms on the r.h.s., which do not contain $\beta(0)$ to a power at least $\kappa/2$ as a factor, are $M_2^2(\tau)$ and $M_2^p(\tau)$. Hence for $M_2(0) \ll 1$ this inequality implies that $M_2(\tau) \lesssim M_2(0) + \beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)$. Substituting this result into the inequality for $M_1(\tau)$ we obtain that $M_1(\tau) \lesssim M_1(0) + \beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)$ as well. The last two inequalities together with (39) and (40) imply the desired estimates on $A(\tau)$ and $B(\tau)$.

By (48) and continuity, (47) holds on a larger interval which in turn implies (48) on this larger time interval and so forth. Hence, (48) holds for $t < t_\# = t_*$.

By the definitions of $A(\tau)$ and $B(\tau)$ in (37) and the facts that $A(\tau), B(\tau) \lesssim 1$ proved above and the relation $2c = a + \frac{1}{2}$, we have that

$$a(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{2}{p-1}b(\tau) + \mathrm{O}\left(\beta^2(\tau)\right),\ b(\tau) = \beta(\tau) + \mathrm{O}\left(\beta^{1+\kappa/2}(\tau)\right). \tag{49}$$

Hence $a(\tau)-\frac{1}{2}=O(\beta(\tau))$. Recall that $a=\lambda^{-3}\frac{d}{dt}\lambda$, which can be rewritten as $\lambda(t)^{-2}=1-2\int_0^t a(\tau(s))ds$ or

$$\lambda(t)=[1-2\int_0^t a(\tau(s))ds]^{-1/2} \tag{50}$$

where we use $\lambda(0)=1$. Since $|a(\tau(t))-\frac{1}{2}|=O(b(\tau(t)))$, there exists a time t^* such that $1=2\int_0^{t^*}a(\tau(s))ds$, i.e. $\lambda(t)\to\infty$ as $t\to t^*$. Furthermore, by the definition of τ and the property of a we have that $\tau(t)\to\infty$ as $t\to t^*$. We will show below that t^* is the blow-up time.

Equation (49) implies $b(\tau(t))\to 0$ and $a(\tau(t))\to\frac{1}{2}$ as $t\to t^*$. By the analysis above and the definitions of a, τ and β (see (36)) we have

$$\lambda(t)=(t^*-t)^{-1/2}(1+o(1)),\ \tau(t)=-ln|t^*-t|(1+o(1)),$$

and

$$\beta(\tau(t))=-\frac{(p-1)^2}{4pln|t^*-t|}(1+o(1)).$$

By (49) we have

$$b(\tau(t))=\frac{(p-1)^2}{4p(|ln|t^*-t||)}(1+O(|\frac{1}{ln|t^*-t|}|^{\kappa/2}))$$

and

$$a(\tau(t))=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{p-1}{2p|ln|t^*-t||}(1+O(\frac{1}{ln|t^*-t|})).$$

The last equation together with the relation $c=\frac{1}{2}a+\frac{1}{4}$ implies

$$c(\tau(t))=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{p-1}{4p|ln|t^*-t||}(1+O(\frac{1}{ln|t^*-t|})).$$

Now, using the relation between the functions $u(x,t)$ and $v(y,\tau)$ and the splitting result (Proposition 2) we obtain the following a priory estimate on the (non-rescaled) solution $u(x,t)$ of equation (1):

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty\lesssim\lambda(t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}[1+M_1(\tau)+M_2(\tau)],$$

where $\tau=\tau(t)$ is defined above and we use the fact $\|e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}\xi(\cdot,\tau)\|_\infty\lesssim M_1(\tau)+M_2(\tau)$. By the estimate (48) above the majorants $M_j(\tau)$ are uniformly bounded and therefore

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty\lesssim\lambda(t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \tag{51}$$

for $t\leq t_*$.

Recall that if $t_*<\infty$, then $\|u(\cdot,t)\|_\infty\to\infty$ as $t\to t_*$. Hence, $t_*\geq t^*$, by the bounds (51) and $\lambda(t)<\infty$ for $t<t^*$. On the other hand, if $t_*>t^*$, then by (33) and (34)

$$|u(0,t)|\geq\lambda(\tau(t))^{\frac{2}{p-1}}\left[\left(\frac{2c(\tau(t))}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-Cb(\tau(t))^2\right]\to\infty, \tag{52}$$

as $t\uparrow t^*$, which contradicts the existence of $u(x,t)$ on $[0,t_*)$. Hence $t_*=t^*$. Thus we have shown the existence of the solution u up to the time t^* having $v\in U_{\epsilon_0}$ and obeying the estimates (48). Then the results above describing the dynamics of the parameters a, b, c and λ as well as (48) imply that u blows up at the time t^*, see Equation (52). Furthermore, Equations (33) and (48) imply, after rescaling (44), the second statement of Theorem 1. Finally, the third statement follows from the asymptotic expressions for the parameters b, c and λ obtained above and the relations in (45). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

□

7 Lyapunov-Schmidt Splitting (Effective Equations)

According to Proposition 2 the solution $w(y,\tau)$ of (22) can be decomposed as (33), with the parameters a, b and c and the fluctuation ξ depending on time τ:

$$w = v_{abc} + \xi,\ \xi \perp\ \phi_{0,a},\ \phi_{2a}, \tag{53}$$

where, recall, $v_{abc} := v_{cb} e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2}$ and $c = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{4}$. In this section we derive equations for the parameters $a(\tau)$, $b(\tau)$ and $c(\tau)$ and the fluctuation $\xi(y,\tau)$.

Plugging the decomposition (53) into (22) gives the equation

$$\xi_\tau = -\mathcal{L}_{abc}\xi + \mathcal{N}(\xi,a,b,c) + \mathcal{F}(a,b,c), \tag{54}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{L}_{a,b,c}$, the functions $\mathcal{N}(\xi,a,b,c)$ and $\mathcal{F}(a,b,c)$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_{abc} := -\partial_y^2 + \frac{1}{4}\left(a^2 + a_\tau\right) y^2 - \frac{a}{2} + \frac{2a}{p-1} - \frac{2pc}{p-1+by^2}, \tag{55}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\xi,b,c) := \left[|\xi + v_{abc}|^{p-1}(\xi + v_{abc}) - v_{abc}^p - p v_{abc}^{p-1}\xi\right] e^{\frac{a}{4}(p-1)y^2}, \tag{56}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(a,b,c) := \frac{1}{p-1}\left[\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 \frac{(p-1)ay^2}{p-1+by^2} - \frac{4pb^3y^4}{(p-1)^2(p-1+by^2)^2}\right] v_{abc}, \tag{57}$$

with the functions Γ_0 and Γ_1 given as

$$\Gamma_0 := -\frac{c_\tau}{c} + 2(c-a) - \frac{2}{p-1}b, \tag{58}$$

$$\Gamma_1 := \frac{1}{a(p-1)}\left(b_\tau - 2b(c-a) + \frac{2(3p-1)}{(p-1)^2}b^2\right). \tag{59}$$

Proposition 6. *If* $A(\tau),\ B(\tau) \le \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau)$ *and* $1/4 \le c(0) \le 1$*, then*

$$\|\langle y\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\mathcal{F}\|_\infty = O\left(|\Gamma_0| + |\Gamma_1| + \beta^{\frac{5}{2}}\right) \ \text{and}\ \|e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\mathcal{F}\|_\infty = O\left(|\Gamma_0| + \frac{1}{\beta}|\Gamma_1| + \beta\right). \tag{60}$$

Furthermore we have for $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(\xi,b,c)$

$$|\mathcal{N}| \lesssim e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}|\xi|^2 + e^{(p-1)\frac{a}{4}y^2}|\xi|^p. \tag{61}$$

Proof. Rearranging the leading term of expression for $\mathcal{F}$ so that y^2 appears in the combination ay^2-1 gives the more convenient expression

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{p-1}\left[\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1(ay^2-1) - \Gamma_1\frac{aby^4}{p-1+by^2} + G_1\right] v_{abc} \tag{62}$$

with $G_1 := -\frac{4pb^3y^4}{(p-1)^2(p-1+by^2)^2}$. We estimate $\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\mathcal{F}\|_\infty$ using this form of $\mathcal{F}$ and the estimates

$$\|e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}v_{abc}\|_\infty,\ \|\langle y\rangle^{-3}(ay^2-1)\|_\infty,\ \lesssim 1.$$

The result is

$$\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\mathcal{F}\|_\infty \lesssim |\Gamma_0| + (1+b^{\frac{1}{2}})|\Gamma_1| + b^{\frac{5}{2}}. \tag{63}$$

The estimate of $\|e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\mathcal{F}\|$ is proved in a similar way as the first estimate. Recall the expression of $\mathcal{F}$ in Equation (57). We use the estimates

$$\left\|e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}v_{abc}\right\|_\infty,\ \left\|e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\frac{(by^2)^n}{(p-1+by^2)^2}v_{abc}\right\|_\infty \lesssim 1,\ n=0,1,$$

to obtain that

$$\|e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\mathcal{F}\|_\infty \lesssim |\Gamma_0| + \frac{1}{b}|\Gamma_1| + b. \tag{64}$$

Now we estimate b in terms of β and B to complete the proof of the first bound. The assumption that $B \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}$ implies that $b = \beta + \mathrm{O}\left(\beta^{1+\frac{\kappa}{2}}\right)$, which together with estimates (63) and (64), implies the first estimate (60).

For (61) we observe that if $v_{abc} \leq 2|\xi|$ then $|\mathcal{N}| \leq e^{(p-1)\frac{a}{4}y^2}(p+3)|\xi|^p$. If $v_{abc} \geq 2|\xi|$, then we use the formula $\mathcal{N} = e^{(p-1)\frac{a}{4}y^2}p\int_0^1\left[(v_{abc}+s\xi)^{p-1} - v_{abc}^{p-1}\right]\xi\, ds$ and consider the cases $1 < p \leq 2$ and $p > 2$ separately to obtain (61). □

Proposition 7. *Recall that* $a = 2c - \frac{1}{2}$. *Suppose that* $A(\tau), B(\tau), M_1(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}$ *and* $1/4 \leq c(0) \leq 1$ *for* $0 \leq \tau \leq T$. *Let* $w = v_{abc} + \xi$ *be a solution to* (22) *with* $\xi \perp \phi_{0a},\ \phi_{2a}$. *Over times* $0 \leq \tau \leq T$, *the parameters* b *and* c *satisfy*

$$b_\tau = -\frac{2(3p-1)}{(p-1)^2}b^2 + 2b(c-a) + \mathcal{R}_b(\xi, b, c), \tag{65}$$

$$\frac{c_\tau}{c} = 2(c-a) - \frac{2}{p-1}b + \mathcal{R}_c(\xi, b, c), \tag{66}$$

where the remainders $\mathcal{R}_b$ *and* $\mathcal{R}_c$ *are of the order* $\mathrm{O}\left(\beta^3 + \beta^3 M_1(1+A) + \beta^4 M_1^2 + \beta^{2p}M_1^p\right)$ *and satisfy* $\mathcal{R}_b(0,b,c), \mathcal{R}_c(0,b,c) = O(b^3)$.

Proof. We take inner product of the equation (54) with ϕ_{ja} to get

$$\langle \xi_\tau, \phi_{ja}\rangle = \langle -\mathcal{L}_{abc}\xi + \mathcal{N}(\xi, a, b, c) + \mathcal{F}(a, b, c), \phi_{ja}\rangle.$$

We use the orthogonality conditions $\phi_{ja} \perp \xi$ to derive (65) and (66). We start with analyzing the $\mathcal{F}$ term. The inner product of (62) with ϕ_{0a} and ϕ_{2a} gives the expression

$$(p-1)\left\langle \mathcal{F}, \phi_{ja} \right\rangle = (\Gamma_0+\Gamma_1)\left\langle v_{abc}, \phi_{ja}\right\rangle + \Gamma_1 \left\langle v_{abc}, (ay^2-1)\phi_{ja}\right\rangle - \Gamma_1 \langle \frac{aby^4}{p-1+by^2} v_{abc}, \phi_{ja}\rangle + \langle G_1 v_{abc}, \phi_{ja}\rangle \tag{67}$$

where $j = 0$ or 2. By rescaling the variable of integration so that the exponential term does not contain the parameter a, expanding v_{abc} to the constant term in $\frac{b}{a}$ and estimating the remainder by $\mathrm{O}\left(a^{-\frac{1}{2}} b y^2 e^{-y^2/2}\right)$ we obtain the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle v_{abc}, \phi_{0a}\right\rangle &= (\frac{2c}{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{a}} + \mathrm{O}\left(b\right), \\
\left\langle v_{abc}, \phi_{2a}\right\rangle &= \mathrm{O}\left(b\right), \\
\left\langle v_{abc}, (ay^2-1)\phi_{2a}\right\rangle &= (\frac{2c}{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{a}} + \mathrm{O}\left(b\right), \\
\left\langle v_{abc}, \langle y\rangle^3 \phi_{0a}\right\rangle, \ \left\langle v_{abc}, \langle y\rangle^3 \phi_{2a}\right\rangle &\lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these estimates into Equations (67) and recalling the definition of G_1 gives

$$\left\langle \mathcal{F}, \phi_{0a}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{p-1}(\frac{2c}{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{a}} (\Gamma_0+\Gamma_1) + R_1, \tag{68}$$

$$\left\langle \mathcal{F}, \phi_{2a}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{p-1}(\frac{2c}{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{a}} \Gamma_1 + R_2, \tag{69}$$

where both remainders R_1 and R_2 are bounded by $\mathrm{O}\left(b|\Gamma_0| + b|\Gamma_1| + b^3\right)$.

To estimate the projection of $\partial_\tau \xi$ onto ϕ_{0a} and ϕ_{2a}, we differentiate the orthogonality conditions $\langle \xi, \phi_{0a}\rangle = 0$ and $\langle \xi, \phi_{2a}\rangle = 0$, obtaining the relations $\langle \xi_\tau, \phi_{0a}\rangle = -\langle \xi, \partial_\tau \phi_{0a}\rangle$ and $\langle \xi_\tau, \phi_{2a}\rangle = -\langle \xi, \partial_\tau \phi_{2a}\rangle$. When simplified using the orthogonality conditions on ξ, these relations give

$$\langle \xi_\tau, \phi_{0a}\rangle = 0 \text{ and } |\left\langle \xi_\tau, \phi_{2a}\right\rangle| \le |\frac{1}{4} a^{-1} a_\tau \left\langle \langle y\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2} \xi, a^2 \langle y\rangle^3 y^4 e^{-\frac{a}{2}y^2} \right\rangle|.$$

Estimating the right hand side of the second inequality by Hölder's inequality and using the definition of $M_1(\tau)$ gives that over times $0 \le \tau \le T$

$$\langle \xi_\tau, \phi_{2a}\rangle = \mathrm{O}\left(|a_\tau| \beta^2 M_1\right).$$

Next we replace a_τ in with expressions involving Γ_0 and Γ_1. Since $a = 2c - \frac{1}{2}$, $a_\tau = 2c_\tau$. From (58) and (59),

$$c_\tau = \mathrm{O}\left(\Gamma_0 + \beta^2 A\right)$$

for times $0 \le \tau \le T$. Substituting these estimates into the expression for a_τ gives that

$$a_\tau = \mathrm{O}\left(|\Gamma_0| + \beta^2 A\right)$$

and hence

$$\langle \xi_\tau, \phi_{2a} \rangle = \mathrm{O}\left(\beta^2 M_1(|\Gamma_0| + \beta^2 A)\right). \tag{70}$$

We now estimate the terms involving the linear operator $\mathcal{L}_{abc}$. Write the operator $\mathcal{L}_{abc}$ as

$$\mathcal{L}_{abc} = \mathcal{L}_* + \frac{1}{4}a_\tau y^2 - \frac{2pc}{p-1+by^2},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_*$ is self-adjoint and satisfies $\mathcal{L}_*\phi_{0a} = \frac{2a}{p-1}\phi_{0a}$ and $\mathcal{L}_*\phi_{2a} = \frac{2ap}{p-1}\phi_{2,a}$. Projecting $\mathcal{L}_{abc}\xi$ onto the eigenvectors ϕ_{0a} and ϕ_{2a} of $\mathcal{L}_*$ gives the equations

$$\begin{aligned} |\left\langle \mathcal{L}_{abc}\xi, \phi_{0a} \right\rangle| &\lesssim |a_\tau| |\left\langle \xi, ay^2 e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2} \right\rangle| + |\left\langle \xi, \frac{by^2}{p-1+by^2} e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2} \right\rangle| = |\left\langle \xi, \frac{by^2}{p-1+by^2} e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2} \right\rangle|, \\ |\left\langle \mathcal{L}_{abc}\xi, \phi_{2a} \right\rangle| &= |a_\tau| |\left\langle \xi, ay^2(ay^2-1) e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2} \right\rangle| + |\left\langle \xi, \frac{(ay^2-1)by^2}{p-1+by^2} e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2} \right\rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating with Hölder's inequality gives the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |\left\langle \mathcal{L}_{abc}\xi, \phi_{0a} \right\rangle| &\lesssim b\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}\xi e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\|_\infty \\ |\left\langle \mathcal{L}_{abc}\xi, \phi_{2a} \right\rangle| &\lesssim (|a_\tau| + b)\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}\xi e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the estimating functions β and M_1, these estimates, after using the above estimate of a_τ and simplifying in a and c, become

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{abc}\xi, \phi_{0a} \rangle \lesssim \beta^3 M_1 \tag{71}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{abc}\xi, \phi_{2a} \rangle \lesssim \beta^2 M_1\left(\beta + |\Gamma_0| + \beta^2 A\right). \tag{72}$$

Lastly, we estimate the inner products involving the nonlinearity. Due to (61), both $\langle \mathcal{N}, \phi_{0a} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{N}, \phi_{2a} \rangle$ are estimated by $\mathrm{O}\left(\|\langle y\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\xi\|_\infty^2 + \|\langle y\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\xi\|_\infty^p\right)$. Writing this in terms of β and M_1 and simplifying gives the estimate

$$|\langle \mathcal{N}, \phi_{ia} \rangle| \lesssim \beta^4 M_1^2 + \beta^{2p} M_1^p. \tag{73}$$

Estimates (68)-(72) and (73) imply that $\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 = R_1$ and $\Gamma_1 = R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 are of the order

$$\mathrm{O}\left(\beta(|\Gamma_0| + |\Gamma_1|) + \beta^3 + \beta^2 M_1\left(\beta + |\Gamma_0| + \beta^2 A\right) + \beta^4 M_1^2 + \beta^{2p} M_1^p\right).$$

By the facts that $\beta(\tau) \leq b_0 \ll 1$ and $A, M_1 \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}$, we obtain the estimates

$$|\Gamma_0| + |\Gamma_1| \lesssim \beta^3 + \beta^3 M_1(1+A) + \beta^4 M_1^2 + \beta^{2p} M_1^p \tag{74}$$

for the times $0 \leq \tau \leq T$. □

Equations (60) and (74) yield the following corollary.

Corollary 8.

$$\|\langle y\rangle^{-n} e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\mathcal{F}\|_\infty \quad \lesssim \quad \beta^{k_n}(\tau)[1 + M_1(1+A) + M_1^2 + M_1^p] \tag{75}$$

with $n = 0, 3$ *and* $k_0 := \min\{1, 2p-1\}$, $k_3 := \min\{5/2, 2p\}$.

Remark 3. *Equation* (54) *for the unknowns* a, b, c *and* ξ *is invariant under the transformation*

$$(a(\tau), b(\tau), c(\tau), \xi(\tau)) \mapsto (\mu^2 a(\mu\tau), \mu^2 b(\mu\tau), \mu^2 c(\mu\tau), \mu^{\frac{2}{p-1}}\xi(\mu y, \mu^2\tau)).$$

This symmetry is related to the symmetry (2) *of* (1)*. Consequently, Equations (* 65) *and (* 66) *have the same symmetry.*

Remark 4. *Dynamical equations (* 65) *and (* 66) *have static solutions* $(b, c, \xi) = (0, 0, 0)$ *and* $(b, c, \xi) = (0, a, 0)$ *with* a *a constant (the latter implies* $a = \frac{1}{2}$*).*

8 Proof of Estimates (38)-(40)

Recall that $a = 2c - \frac{1}{2}$. Assume $B(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau)$ for $\tau \in [0, T]$ which implies that $b \lesssim \beta$, $\frac{1}{b} \lesssim \frac{1}{\beta}$.

We rewrite equation (65) as $b_\tau = -\frac{4p}{(p-1)^2}b^2 + b\left(\frac{1}{2} - a - \frac{2b}{p-1}\right) + \mathcal{R}_b$. By the definition of A, the second term on the right hand side is bounded by $b\beta^2 A \lesssim \beta^3 A$. Thus, using the bound for $\mathcal{R}_b$ given in Proposition 7, we obtain (38).

To prove (39) we begin by dividing (38) by b^2 and using the inequality $\frac{1}{b} \lesssim \frac{1}{\beta}$ to obtain the estimate

$$\left| -\partial_\tau \frac{1}{b} + \frac{4p}{(p-1)^2} \right| \lesssim \beta + \beta M_1(1 + A) + \beta^2 M_1^2 + \beta^{2p-2} M_1^p. \tag{76}$$

Since β is a solution to $-\partial_\tau \beta^{-1} + 4p(p-1)^{-2} = 0$, Equation (76) implies that

$$|\partial_\tau \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right)| \lesssim \beta + \beta M_1(1 + A) + \beta^2 M_1^2 + \beta^{2p-2} M_1^p.$$

Integrating this equation over $[0, \tau]$, multiplying the result by $\beta^{-1-\kappa}$ and using that $\beta(0) = b(0)$, $b \lesssim \beta$ gives the estimate

$$\beta^{-1-\kappa}|\beta - b| \lesssim \beta^{1-\kappa} \int_0^\tau \left(\beta + \beta M_1(1 + A) + \beta^2 M_1^2 + \beta^{2p-2} M_1^p\right) ds,$$

where, recall, $\kappa := \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\} < 1$. Hence, by the definition of β and B and the facts that M_1 and A are increasing functions, (39) follows.

Define the quantity $\Gamma := \frac{1}{2} - a - \frac{2}{p-1}b$. Differentiating Γ with respect to τ and substituting for b_τ and $a_\tau = 2c_\tau$ Equations (65) and (66) we obtain

$$\partial_\tau \Gamma = -2c(\Gamma + \mathcal{R}_c) - \frac{2}{p-1}\left(-\frac{2(3p-1)}{(p-1)^2}b^2 + 2b(c - a) + \mathcal{R}_b\right).$$

Replacing $2b(c - a)$ by $b\Gamma + \frac{2}{p-1}b^2$ and rearranging the resulting equation gives that

$$\partial_\tau \Gamma + \left[a + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}b\right]\Gamma = \frac{8p}{(p-1)^3}b^2 - (a + \frac{1}{2})\mathcal{R}_c - \frac{2}{p-1}\mathcal{R}_b.$$

Let $\mu = \exp\left(\int_0^\tau \left(a + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}b\right) ds\right)$. Then the above equation implies that

$$\partial_\tau(\mu\Gamma) = \frac{8p}{(p-1)^3}\int_0^\tau \mu b^2\, ds - \int_0^\tau (a+\frac{1}{2})\mu\mathcal{R}_c\, ds - \int_0^\tau \frac{2}{p-1}\mu\mathcal{R}_b\, ds.$$

We now integrate the above equation over $[0,\tau] \subseteq [0,T]$ and use the inequality $b \lesssim \beta$ and the estimates of $\mathcal{R}_b$ and $\mathcal{R}_c$ in Proposition 7 to obtain

$$|\Gamma| \lesssim \mu^{-1}\Gamma(0) + \mu^{-1}\int_0^\tau \mu\beta^2\, ds + \mu^{-1}\int_0^\tau \mu\left(\beta^3 + \beta^3 M_1(1+A) + \beta^4 M_1^2 + \beta^{2p}M_1^p\right) ds.$$

For our purpose, it is sufficient to use the less sharp inequality

$$|\Gamma| \lesssim \mu^{-1}\Gamma(0) + \left(1 + \beta(0)M_1(1+A) + \beta(0)M_1^2 + \beta^{2p-2}(0)M_1^p\right)\mu^{-1}\int_0^\tau \mu\beta^2\, ds.$$

The assumption that $A(\tau),\ B(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau)$ implies that $a + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}b = 1 - \frac{4b}{p-1} + \mathrm{O}\left(\beta^2 A\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and therefore $\beta^{-2}\mu^{-1} \lesssim \beta^{-2}(0)$ and $\int_0^\tau \mu(s)\beta^2(s)\, ds \lesssim \mu(\tau)\beta^2(\tau)$. The last two inequalities and the relation $\max\limits_{s\leq\tau}\beta^{-2}(s)|\Gamma(s)| = A(\tau)$ lead to (40).

9 Rescaling of Fluctuations on a Fixed Time Interval

The coefficient in front of y^2 in the operator $\mathcal{L}_{abc}$, (55), is time dependent, complicating the estimation of the semigroup generated by this operator. In this section we introduce the new time and space variables in such a way that the coefficient at y^2 in the new operator is constant (cf [6, 7, 38]).

Let T be given and let $t(\tau)$ be the inverse of the function $\tau(t) := \int_0^t \lambda^2(s)\, ds$. We approximate the scaling parameter $\lambda(t)$ over the time interval $[0, t(T)]$ by a new parameter $\lambda_1(t)$. We choose $\lambda_1(t)$ to satisfy for $t \leq t(T)$

$$\partial_t\left(\lambda_1^{-3}\partial_t\lambda_1\right) = 0 \text{ with } \lambda_1(t(T)) = \lambda(t(T)) \text{ and } \partial_t\lambda_1(t(T)) = \partial_t\lambda(t(T)).$$

We define $\alpha := \lambda_1^{-3}\partial_t\lambda_1 = a(T)$. This is an analog of the parameter a and it is constant. The last two conditions imply that λ_1 is tangent to λ at $t = t(T)$. Define the new time and space variables as

$$z = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}y \text{ and } \sigma = \sigma(t(\tau)) \text{ with } \sigma(t) := \int_0^t \lambda_1^2(s)\, ds$$

where $\tau \leq T$, $\sigma \leq S := \sigma(T)$ and λ λ_1 are functions of $t(\tau)$. Now we introduce the new function $\eta(z,\sigma)$ by the equality

$$\lambda_1^{\frac{2}{p-1}} e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}\eta(z,\sigma) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\xi(y,\tau). \tag{77}$$

Denote by $t(\sigma)$ the inverse of the function $\sigma(t)$. In the equation for $\eta(z,\sigma)$ derived below and in what follows the symbols λ, a and b stand for $\lambda(t(\sigma))$, $a(\tau(t(\sigma)))$ and $b(\tau(t(\sigma)))$, respectively. Substituting this change of variables into (54) gives the governing equation for η:

$$\partial_\sigma\eta = -L_\alpha\eta + W(a,b,\alpha)\eta + F(a,b,\alpha) + N(\eta,a,b,\alpha), \tag{78}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_\alpha &:= L_0 + V,\ L_0 := -\partial_z^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}z^2 - \frac{5}{2}\alpha,\ V := \frac{2p\alpha}{p-1} - \frac{2p\alpha}{p-1+\beta z^2}, \qquad (79)\\
W(a,b,\alpha) &:= \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_1^2}\frac{p(a+\frac{1}{2})}{p-1+b\frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_1^2}z^2} - \frac{2p\alpha}{p-1+\beta z^2},\\
F(a,b,\alpha) &:= \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{2p}{p-1}} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2} e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\mathcal{F}(a,b,c)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
N(\eta,a,b,\alpha) := \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{2p}{p-1}} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2} e^{\frac{a}{4}\frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_1^2}z^2}\mathcal{N}\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2}\eta, b, c\right),
$$

where, recall, c and a are related as $2c = a + \frac{1}{2}$ and β is defined in (36).

In the next statement we prove that the new parameter $\lambda_1(t)$ is a good approximation of the old one, $\lambda(t)$. We have

Proposition 9. *If* $A(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau)$ *and* $b(0) \ll 1$*, then*

$$
|\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}(t(\tau)) - 1| \lesssim \beta(\tau) \leq b(0). \qquad (80)
$$

Proof. Differentiating $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} - 1$ with respect to τ (recall that $\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$) gives the expression

$$
\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} - 1\right) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}a - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}\alpha
$$

or, after some manipulations

$$
\frac{d}{d\tau}[\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} - 1] = 2a(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} - 1) + \Gamma \qquad (81)
$$

with

$$
\Gamma := a - \alpha - a\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} - 1)^2 + (a-\alpha)(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda} - 1).
$$

Observe that $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}(t(\tau)) - 1 = 0$ when $\tau = T$. Thus Equations (81) can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}(t(\tau)) - 1 = -\int_\tau^T e^{-\int_\tau^\sigma 2a(\rho)d\rho}\Gamma(\sigma)d\sigma. \qquad (82)
$$

By the definition of $A(\tau)$ and the definition $\alpha = a(T)$ we have that, if $A(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau)$, then

$$
|a(\tau) - \alpha|,\ |a(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}| \leq 2\beta(\tau) \qquad (83)
$$

on the time interval $\tau \in [0, T]$. Thus

$$
|\Gamma| \lesssim \beta + (1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda})(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} - 1)^2 + \beta|\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} - 1|. \qquad (84)
$$

which together with (82) and (83) implies (80). □

10 Estimate on the Propagators

Let $\bar{P}^\alpha$ be the projection onto the space spanned by the first three eigenvectors of L_0 and $P^\alpha := 1 - \bar{P}^\alpha$. Denote by $U_\alpha^{(1)}(\tau,\sigma)$ the propagator generated on $\operatorname{Ran} P^\alpha$ by the operator $-P^\alpha L_\alpha P^\alpha$, where, recall, the definition of the operator L_α is given in Equation (79).

Proposition 10. *For any function $g \in RanP^\alpha$ and for $c_0 := \alpha - \epsilon$ with some $\epsilon > 0$ small we have*

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} U_\alpha^{(1)}(\tau,\sigma) g\|_\infty \lesssim e^{-c_0(\tau-\sigma)} \|\langle z\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} g\|_\infty.$$

The proof of this proposition is given after Lemma 13. Here we just observe that in the L^2 norm $P^\alpha L_\alpha P^\alpha \geq (-\partial_z^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}z^2 - \frac{5}{2}\alpha)P^\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha P^\alpha$. However, this does not help in proving the weighted L^∞ bound above. We start with an estimate for the propagator $U_\alpha(\tau,\sigma)$, generated by the operator $-L_\alpha$. Recall the definition of the operator L_0 in (79) and define $U_0(x,y)$ as the integral kernel of the operator $e^{-\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} e^{-rL_0} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}$. We begin with

Lemma 11. *For $n = 0,1,2,3,4$, any function g and $r > 0$ we have that*

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-n} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} e^{-L_0 r} g\|_\infty \lesssim e^{2\alpha r} \|\langle z\rangle^{-n} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} g\|_\infty \tag{85}$$

or equivalently

$$e^{\frac{\alpha x^2}{2}} \int \langle x\rangle^{-n} U_0(x,y) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}y^2} \langle y\rangle^n dy \lesssim e^{2\alpha r}. \tag{86}$$

Proof. We only prove the case $n = 2$. The cases $n = 0,4$ are similar. The cases $n = 1,3$ follows from $n = 0,2,4$ by an interpolation result. Note that the first four eigenvectors of L_0 are $e^{-\frac{\alpha x^2}{4}}$, $xe^{-\frac{\alpha x^2}{4}}$, $(\alpha x^2 - 1)e^{-\frac{\alpha x^2}{4}}$ and $(\alpha x^3 - 3x)e^{-\frac{\alpha x^2}{4}}$ with the eigenvalues -2α, $-\alpha$, 0 and α. Thus for the case $n = 2$, using that the integral kernel of e^{-rL_0} is positive and therefore $\|e^{-rL_0}g\|_\infty \leq \|f^{-1}g\|_\infty \|e^{-rL_0}f\|_\infty$ for any $f > 0$ and using that $e^{-rL_0}e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2} = e^{2\alpha r}e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}$ and $e^{-rL_0}(\alpha z^2 - 1)e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2} = (\alpha z^2 - 1)e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\langle z\rangle^{-2} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} e^{-rL_0} g\|_\infty &\leq \|\langle z\rangle^{-2} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} e^{-rL_0} e^{-\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} (z^2+1)\|_\infty \|\langle z\rangle^{-2} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} g\|_\infty \\
&= \|\langle z\rangle^{-2}[e^{2\alpha r}\tfrac{1}{\alpha} + (z^2 - \tfrac{1}{\alpha})]\|_\infty \|\langle z\rangle^{-2} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} g\|_\infty \\
&\leq 2(\tfrac{1}{\alpha} + 1) e^{2\alpha r} \|\langle z\rangle^{-2} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} g\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies (85). To prove (86) we note that $U_0(x,y)$ is, by definition, the integral kernel of the operator $e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2} e^{-rL_0} e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}$. Thus, taking $g(x) = \langle x\rangle^n e^{-\frac{\alpha}{4}x^2}$ in (85) yields (86). □

A version of the following lemma is proved in [5].

Lemma 12. *For any function g and positive constants σ and r we have*

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} U_\alpha(\sigma + r, \sigma) P^\alpha g\|_\infty \lesssim [e^{2\alpha r} r(1+r)\beta^{1/2}(\sigma) + e^{-\alpha r}] \|\langle z\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} g\|_\infty.$$

Proof. The spatial variables in this proof will be denoted by x, y and z. Recall the definitions of the operators L_0 and V in (79). Denote the integral kernel of $e^{-\frac{\alpha x^2}{4}}U_\alpha(\sigma+r,\sigma)e^{\frac{\alpha x^2}{4}}$ by $U(x,y)$. By Theorem 21, given in Appendix B below, we have the representation

$$U(x,y)=U_0(x,y)\langle e^V\rangle(x,y), \tag{87}$$

where, recall that $U_0(x,y)$ is the integral kernel of the operator $e^{-\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}e^{-rL_0}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}$ and

$$\langle e^V\rangle(x,y)=\int_\sigma^{\sigma+r}e^{\int_\sigma^{\sigma+r}-V(\sigma+s,\omega(s)+\omega_0(s))ds}d\mu(\omega). \tag{88}$$

Here $\omega_0(s)$ is defined in Theorem 21 of Appendix D and $d\mu(\omega)$ is a harmonic oscillator (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck) probability measure on the continuous paths $\omega:[\sigma,\sigma+r]\to\mathbb{R}$ with the boundary condition $\omega(\sigma)=\omega(\sigma+r)=0$. By a standard formula (see [42, 24]) we have

$$U_0(x,y)=4\pi(1-e^{-2\alpha r})^{-1/2}\sqrt{\alpha}e^{2\alpha r}e^{-\alpha\frac{(x-e^{-\alpha r}y)^2}{2(1-e^{-2\alpha r})}}.$$

Define a new function $f:=e^{-\frac{\alpha y^2}{4}}P^\alpha g$. The definitions above imply

$$U_\alpha(\sigma+r,\sigma)P^\alpha g=\int e^{\frac{\alpha x^2}{4}}U_0(x,y)\langle e^V\rangle(x,y)f(y)dy. \tag{89}$$

Integrate by parts on the right hand side of (89) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}U_\alpha(\sigma+r,\sigma)P^\alpha g &= \sum_{k=0}^{2}e^{\frac{\alpha x^2}{4}}\int\partial_y^kU_0(x,y)\partial_y\langle e^V\rangle(x,y)f^{(-k-1)}(y)dy\\ &\quad +e^{\frac{\alpha x^2}{4}}\int\partial_y^3U_0(x,y)\langle e^V\rangle(x,y)f^{(-3)}(y)dy\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

where $f^{(-m-1)}(x):=\int_{-\infty}^x f^{(-m)}(y)dy$ and $f^{(-0)}:=f$. Now we estimate every term on the right hand side of Equation (90).

(A) By the facts that $f=e^{-\frac{\alpha y^2}{4}}P^\alpha g$ and $P^\alpha g\perp y^ne^{-\frac{\alpha y^2}{4}}$, $n=0,1,2,3$, we have that $f\perp 1,\ y,\ y^2,\ y^3$. Therefore by integration by parts we have

$$f^{(-m)}(y)=\int_{-\infty}^y f^{(-m+1)}(x)dx=-\int_y^\infty f^{(-m+1)}(x)dx,\ m=1,2,3.$$

Moreover by the definition of $f^{(-m)}$ and the equation above we have

$$|f^{(-m)}(y)|\lesssim\langle y\rangle^{3-m}e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}y^2}\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}y^2}P^\alpha g\|_\infty.$$

(B) Using the explicit formula for $U_0(x,y)$ given above we find

$$|\partial_y^{(k)}U_0(x,y)|\lesssim\frac{e^{-\alpha kr}}{(1-e^{-2\alpha r})^k}(|x|+|y|+1)^kU_0(x,y)$$

.

(C) By an estimate from Appendix D (see also [5]) we have that

$$|\partial_y \langle e^V \rangle (x,y)| \leq \beta^{1/2} r. \tag{91}$$

Collecting the estimates (A)-(C) above and using Equation (90), we have the following result

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha x^2}{4}} |U_\alpha(\sigma+r,\sigma)P^\alpha g(x)| \\ \lesssim \quad & \tfrac{\sqrt{\beta} r(1+r)}{(1-e^{-2\alpha r})^3} \langle x\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha x^2}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{2} \int (|x|+|y|+1)^{k+1} U_0(x,y) |f^{(-k-1)}(y)| dy \\ & + \tfrac{1}{(1-e^{-2\alpha r})^3} \langle x\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha x^2}{2}} \textstyle\int (|x|+|y|+1)^3 e^{-3\alpha r} U_0(x,y) |f^{(-3)}(y)| dy \\ \lesssim \quad & \tfrac{\beta^{1/2} r(1+r)+e^{-3\alpha r}}{(1-e^{-2\alpha r})^3} \textstyle\sum_{n=0}^{3} e^{\frac{\alpha x^2}{2}} \int \langle x\rangle^{-n} U_0(x,y) e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}y^2} \langle y\rangle^n dy \| \langle y\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}y^2} P^\alpha g\|_\infty . \end{aligned}$$

This together with the estimate (86) of Lemma 11 gives the estimate of Lemma 12. □

We will also need

Lemma 13.

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-n} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} U_\alpha(\tau,\sigma) g\|_\infty \leq e^{2\alpha(\tau-\sigma)} \|\langle z\rangle^{-n} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} g\|_\infty \tag{92}$$

with $n = 0$ *or* 3.

Proof. By Equations (88) and (89) we have that $|U_\alpha(\tau,\sigma)|(x,y) \leq e^{-L_0(\tau-\sigma)}(x,y)$. Thus we have

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-n} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} U_\alpha(\tau,\sigma) g\|_\infty \quad \leq \quad \|\langle z\rangle^{-n} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} e^{-L_0(\tau-\sigma)} |g| \|_\infty . \tag{93}$$

Now we use Lemma 11 to estimate the right hand side to complete the proof. □

Proof of Proposition 10. Recall that $\bar{P}_\alpha$ is the projection on the span of the three first eigenfunctions of the operator L_0 and $P^\alpha := 1 - \bar{P}^\alpha$. We write

$$L_\alpha = P^\alpha L_\alpha P^\alpha + E_1 + \bar{P}^\alpha L_\alpha \bar{P}^\alpha, \tag{94}$$

where the operator E_1 is defined as $E_1 := \bar{P}^\alpha L_\alpha P^\alpha + P^\alpha L_\alpha \bar{P}^\alpha$. Using that $\bar{P}^\alpha P^\alpha = 0$, we transform E_1 to

$$E_1 \quad = \quad -\bar{P}^\alpha \tfrac{2p(p-1)\alpha\beta z^2}{p-1+\beta z^2} P^\alpha - P^\alpha \tfrac{2p(p-1)\alpha\beta z^2}{p-1+\beta z^2} \bar{P}^\alpha .$$

This implies

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} E_1 \eta(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta(\tau(\sigma)) \|\langle z\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} \eta(\sigma)\|_\infty . \tag{95}$$

We use Duhamel's principle to rewrite the propagator $U_\alpha^{(1)}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$ on $\operatorname{Ran} P^\alpha$ as

$$U_\alpha^{(1)}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) P^\alpha = U_\alpha(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) P^\alpha - \int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_1} U_\alpha(\sigma_1,s) E_1 U_\alpha^{(1)}(s,\sigma_2) P^\alpha ds. \tag{96}$$

Let $r = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2$, $g \in \operatorname{Ran} P^\alpha$ and $\eta(\sigma_1) := U_\alpha^{(1)}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) g$. We estimate the two terms on the right hand side of (96). We claim that if $e^{\alpha r} \leq \beta^{-1/32}(\tau(\sigma_2))$ then we have

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} \eta(\sigma_1)\|_\infty \lesssim e^{-\alpha r} \|\langle z\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} \eta(\sigma_2)\|_\infty . \tag{97}$$

To prove the claim we compute each terms on the right hand side of (97).

(A) Notice that $P^{\alpha}\eta(s)=\eta(s)$. We use Lemma 12 to obtain, for $e^{\alpha r}\leq\beta^{-1/32}(\tau(\sigma_2))$,

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}U_{\alpha}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)g\|_{\infty}\lesssim e^{-\alpha r}\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}g\|_{\infty}. \tag{98}$$

(B) By Lemma 13 and (95) we obtain

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_1}U_{\alpha}(\sigma_1,s)E_1\eta(s)ds\|_{\infty}\lesssim\int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_1}e^{2\alpha(\sigma_1-s)}\beta(\tau(s))\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(s)\|ds.$$

Using the condition $e^{\alpha r}\leq\beta^{-1/32}(\sigma_2)$ and the relation $\beta(\tau(s))\leq\beta(\tau(\sigma_2))$ for $s\geq\sigma_2$ again, we find

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_1}U_{\alpha}(\sigma_1,s)E_1\eta(s)ds\|_{\infty}\lesssim\int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_1}e^{-\alpha(\sigma_1-s)}\beta^{1/2}(\tau(s))\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(s)\|ds. \tag{99}$$

Equations (96), (98) and (99) imply that if $e^{\alpha r}\leq\beta^{-1/32}(\tau(\sigma_2))$ then (remember that $\eta(\sigma_2)=g$)

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma_1)\|_{\infty}\quad\lesssim\quad e^{-\alpha r}\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma_2)\|_{\infty}+\int_{\sigma_2}^{\tau}e^{-\alpha(\tau-s)}\beta^{1/2}(\tau(s))\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(s)\|ds. \tag{100}$$

Next, we define a function $K(r)$ as

$$K(r):=\max_{0\leq k\leq r}e^{\alpha k}\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma_2+k)\|. \tag{101}$$

Then (100) implies that

$$K(\sigma_1)\lesssim\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma_2)\|_{\infty}+\int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_1}e^{-\alpha(\sigma_1-s)}e^{-\alpha(s-\sigma_2)}\beta^{1/2}(\tau(s))dsK(\sigma_2).$$

We observe that

$$\int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_1}e^{-\alpha(\sigma_1-s)}e^{-\alpha(s-\sigma_2)}\beta^{1/2}(\tau(s))ds\leq 1/2$$

if $\beta(0)$ and, therefore, $\beta(\tau(s))=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\beta(0)}+\frac{4p}{(p-1)^2}\tau(s)}$ are small. Thus we have

$$K(\sigma_1)\lesssim\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma_2)\|_{\infty},$$

which together with Equation (101) implies (97). Iterating (97) completes the proof of the proposition.

□

11 Estimate of $M_1(\tau)$ (Equation (41))

In this subsection we derive an estimate for $M_1(T)$ given in Equation (41). Given any time τ', choose $T=\tau'$ and pass from the unknown $\xi(y,\tau)$, $\tau\leq T$, to the new unknown $\eta(z,\sigma)$, $\sigma\leq S$, given in (77). Now we estimate the latter function. To this end we use Equation (78). Observe that the function η is not orthogonal to the first three eigenvectors of the operator L_0 defined in (79). Thus we apply the projection P^α to Equation (78) to get

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma}P^\alpha\eta = -P^\alpha L_\alpha P^\alpha\eta + P^\alpha\sum_{n=1}^{4} D_n, \tag{102}$$

where we used the fact that P^α are τ-independent and the functions $D_n \equiv D_n(\sigma),\ n = 1,2,3,4$, are defined as

$$D_1 := -P^\alpha V\eta + P^\alpha V P^\alpha\eta, \quad D_2 := W(a,b,\alpha)\eta,$$
$$D_3 := F(a,b,\alpha), \quad D_4 := N(\eta,a,b,\alpha),$$

recall the definitions of the functions V, W, F and N after (79).

Lemma 14. *If $A(\tau),\ B(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau)$ for $\tau \leq T$ and $b_0 \ll 1$, then we have*

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}D_1(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^{5/2}(\tau(\sigma))M_1(T), \tag{103}$$

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}D_2(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^{2+\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau(\sigma))M_1(T), \tag{104}$$

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}D_3(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^{\min\{5/2,2p\}}(\tau(\sigma))[1 + M_1(T)(1 + A(T)) + M_1^2(T) + M_1^p(T)], \tag{105}$$

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}D_4\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^2(\tau(\sigma))M_1(T)[\beta^{1/2}(\tau(\sigma))M_1(T) + M_2(T) + \beta^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(\tau(\sigma))M_1^{p-1}(T) + M_2^{p-1}(T)]. \tag{106}$$

Proof. In what follows we use the following estimates, implied by (80),

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}(t(\tau)) - 1 = O(\beta(\tau)),\ \text{thus}\ \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}(t(\tau)),\ \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}(t(\tau)) \leq 2,\ \langle z\rangle^{-3} \lesssim \langle y\rangle^{-3} \tag{107}$$

where, recall that $z := \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}y$. We start with proving the following two estimates which will be used frequently below

$$\|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^{1/2}(\tau(\sigma))M_1(T) + M_2(T), \tag{108}$$

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^2(\tau(\sigma))M_1(T). \tag{109}$$

Recall the definition of $\chi_{\geq D}$ from (35). Writing $1 = 1 - \chi_{\geq D} + \chi_{\geq D}$ and using the inequality $1 - \chi_{\geq D} \lesssim \beta^{-3/2}(\tau)\langle y\rangle^{-3}$, the relation between ξ and η, see (77), and Estimate (107) we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \|e^{\frac{a(\tau(\sigma))y^2}{4}}\xi(\tau(\sigma))\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^{-3/2}(\tau(\sigma))\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{a(\tau(\sigma))y^2}{4}}\xi(\tau(\sigma))\|_\infty\\ &+\|e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\chi_{\geq D}\xi(\tau)\|_\infty \ \leq \beta^{1/2}(\tau(\sigma))M_1(T) + M_2(T)\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

which is (108). Similarly recall that $z = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}y$ which together with (77) and (107) yields

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{a(\tau(\sigma))y^2}{4}}\xi(\tau(\sigma))\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^2(\tau(\sigma))M_1(T).$$

Thus we have (109).

Now we proceed directly to proving the lemma. First we rewrite D_1 as

$$D_1(\sigma) = -P^\alpha \frac{2p\alpha}{(p-1)(p-1+\beta(\tau(\sigma))z^2)}\beta(\tau(\sigma))z^2(1-P^\alpha)\eta(\sigma).$$

Now, using that $\langle z\rangle^{-1}\frac{bz^2}{1+bz^2} \lesssim b^{1/2}$ and that $b \lesssim \beta$, we obtain

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}D_1(\sigma)\|_\infty \quad \lesssim \quad \beta^{1/2}(\tau)\|\|\langle z\rangle^{-2}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}(1-P^\alpha)\eta(\sigma)\|_\infty.$$

Next, due to the explicit form of $\bar{P}^\alpha := 1-P^\alpha$, i.e. $\bar{P}^\alpha = \sum_{m=0}^{2} |\phi_{m,\alpha}\rangle\langle\phi_{m,\alpha}|$, where $\phi_{m,\alpha}$ are the normalized eigenfunctions of the operator $L_0 := -\partial_z^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}z^2 - \frac{5}{2}\alpha$, and decay properties of these eigenfunctions, see (140) of Appendix C below, we have for any function g

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-2}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\bar{P}^\alpha g\|_\infty \lesssim \|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}g\|_\infty. \tag{111}$$

Collecting the estimates above and using (109), we arrive at

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}D_1(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^{1/2}(\tau(\sigma))\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^{5/2}(\tau(\sigma))M_1(T).$$

To prove (104) we recall the definition of D_2 and rewrite it as

$$D_2 \quad = \quad \{[\tfrac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_1^2}-1]p\tfrac{2a+1}{p-1+by^2} + p\tfrac{2(a-\alpha)}{p-1+by^2} + \tfrac{b(2\alpha+1)(\frac{\lambda_1^2}{\lambda^2}-1)y^2}{(p-1+bz^2)(p-1+by^2)} + p\tfrac{1-2\alpha}{p-1+bz^2} + \tfrac{2p\alpha\beta z^2}{(p-1+bz^2)(p-1+\beta z^2)}\tfrac{\beta-b}{\beta}\}\eta.$$

Then Equations (80), (83) and the definition of B in (37) imply

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}D_2(\sigma)\|_\infty \leq \beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau(\sigma))\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(\sigma)\|_\infty.$$

Using (109) we obtain (104) (recall $\kappa := \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$).

Now we prove (105). By (107) and the relation between D_3, F and $\mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}D_3(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{a(\tau(\sigma))}{4}y^2}\mathcal{F}(a,b,c)(\tau(\sigma))\|_\infty$$

which together with (75) implies (105).

Lastly we prove (106). By the relation between D_4, N and $\mathcal{N}$ and the estimate in (61) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}D_4(\sigma)\|_\infty \quad &\lesssim \quad \|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{a(\tau(\sigma))}{4}y^2}\mathcal{N}(\xi(\tau(\sigma)), b(\tau(\sigma)), c(\tau(\sigma)))\|_\infty \\ &\lesssim \quad \|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}\xi(\tau(\sigma))\|_\infty[\|e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}\xi(\tau(\sigma))\|_\infty + \|e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}\xi(\tau(\sigma))\|_\infty^{p-1}].\end{aligned}$$

Using (110) and the definition of M_1 we complete the proof. □

Below we will need the following lemma. Recall that $S := \sigma(t(T))$.

Lemma 15. *If* $A(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau)$*, then for any* $c_1, c_2 > 0$ *there exists a constant* $c(c_1, c_2)$ *such that*

$$\int_0^S e^{-c_1(S-\sigma)}\beta^{c_2}(\tau(t(\sigma)))d\sigma \leq c(c_1,c_2)\beta^{c_2}(T). \tag{112}$$

Proof. We use the shorthand $\tau(\sigma) \equiv \tau(t(\sigma))$, where, recall $t(\sigma)$ is the inverse of $\sigma(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_1^2(k)dk$ and $\tau(t) = \int_0^t \lambda^2(k)dk$. By Proposition 9 we have that $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} \leq 2$ provided that $A(\tau) \leq \beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau)$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{4}\sigma \leq \tau(\sigma) \leq 4\sigma \tag{113}$$

which implies $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{b(0)}+\frac{4p}{(p-1)^2}\tau(\sigma)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\frac{1}{b(0)}+\sigma}$. By a direct computation we have

$$\int_0^S e^{-c_1(S-\sigma)}\beta^{c_2}(\tau(\sigma))d\sigma \leq c(c_1,c_2)\frac{1}{(\frac{1}{b(0)}+\frac{4p}{p-1}S)^{c_2}}. \tag{114}$$

Using (113) again we obtain $4S \geq \tau(S) = T \geq \frac{1}{4}S$ which together with (114) implies (112). □

Recall that $U_\alpha^{(1)}(t,s)$ is the propagator generated by the operator $-P^\alpha L_\alpha P^\alpha$. To estimate the function $P^\alpha\eta$ we rewrite Equation (102) as

$$P^\alpha\eta(S) = U_\alpha^{(1)}(S,0)P^\alpha\eta(0) + \sum_{n=1}^4\int_0^S U_\alpha^{(1)}(S,\sigma)P^\alpha D_n(\sigma)d\sigma$$

which implies

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}P^\alpha\eta(S)\|_\infty \leq K_1 + K_2 \tag{115}$$

with

$$K_1 := \|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}U_\alpha^{(1)}(S,0)P^\alpha\eta(0)\|_\infty;$$

$$K_2 := \|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\sum_{n=1}^4\int_0^S U_\alpha^{(1)}(S,\sigma)P^\alpha D_n(\sigma)d\sigma\|_\infty.$$

Using Proposition 10, Equation (109) and the slow decay of $\beta(\tau)$ we obtain

$$K_1 \lesssim e^{-c_0S}\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(0)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^2(T)M_1(0). \tag{116}$$

By Proposition 10, Equations (103)- (106) and $\int_0^S e^{-c_0(S-\sigma)}\beta^2(\tau(\sigma))d\sigma \lesssim \beta^2(T)$ (see Lemma 15) we have

$$K_2 \quad \lesssim \quad \beta^2(T)\{\beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)[1+M_1(T)A(T)+M_1^2(T)+M_1^p(T)]+[M_2(T)M_1(T)+M_1(T)M_2^{p-1}(T)]\}. \tag{117}$$

Equation (77) and the definitions of S and T imply that $\lambda_1(t(S)) = \lambda(t(T))$, $z = y$, $\eta(S) = \xi(T)$, and $P^\alpha\xi = \xi$, consequently

$$\|\langle z\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}P^\alpha\eta(S)\|_\infty = \|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}\xi(T)\|_\infty. \tag{118}$$

Collecting the estimates (115)-(118) and using the definition of M_1 in (37) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_1(T) \quad &:= \quad \sup_{\tau\leq T}\beta^{-2}(\tau)\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}\xi(\tau)\|_\infty \\
&\lesssim \quad M_1(0)+\beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)[1+M_1(T)A(T)+M_1^2(T)+M_1^p(T)]+M_2(T)M_1(T)+M_1(T)M_2^{p-1}(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with the fact that T is arbitrary implies Equation (41).

$\square$

12 Estimate of M_2 (Equation (42))

The following lemma is proven similarly to the corresponding parts of Lemma 14 and therefore it is presented without a proof.

Lemma 16. *If $A(\tau), B(\tau)\leq\beta^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau)$ and $b_0\ll 1$ and $D_n(\sigma)$, $n=2,3,4$, are the same as in Lemma 14, then*

$$\|e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}D_2(\sigma)\|_\infty\lesssim\beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau(\sigma))[\beta^{1/2}(\tau(\sigma))M_1(T)+M_2(T)]; \tag{119}$$

$$\|e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}D_3(\sigma)\|_\infty\lesssim\beta^{\min\{1,2p-1\}}(\tau(\sigma))[1+M_1(T)(1+A(T))+M_1^2(T)+M_1^p(T)]; \tag{120}$$

$$\|e^{\frac{\alpha}{4}z^2}D_4(\sigma)\|_\infty\lesssim\beta(\tau(\sigma))M_1^2(T)+M_2^2(T)+\beta^{p/2}(\tau(\sigma))M_1^p(T)+M_2^p(T). \tag{121}$$

To estimate M_2 it is convenient to treat the z-dependent part of the potential in (79) as a perturbation. Let the operator L_0 be the same as in (78). Rewrite (78) to have

$$\eta(S)=e^{-(L_0+\frac{2p\alpha}{p-1})S}\eta(0)+\int_0^S e^{-(L_0+\frac{2p\alpha}{p-1})(S-\sigma)}(V_2\eta(\sigma)+\sum_{n=2}^4 D_n(\sigma))d\sigma, \tag{122}$$

where, recall $S:=\sigma(t(T))$, V_2 is the operator given by

$$V_2:=\frac{2p\alpha}{p-1+\beta(\tau(\sigma))z^2},$$

and the terms $D_n,\ n=2,3,4$, are the same as in (102). Lemma 11 implies that

$$\|e^{\frac{\alpha y^2}{4}}e^{-(L_0+\frac{2p\alpha}{p-1})s}g\|_\infty=e^{-\frac{2p\alpha}{p-1}s}\|e^{\frac{\alpha y^2}{4}}e^{-L_0 s}g\|_\infty\lesssim e^{-\frac{2\alpha}{p-1}s}\|e^{\frac{\alpha y^2}{4}}g\|_\infty$$

for any function g and time $s\geq 0$. Hence we have

$$\|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(S)\|_\infty\lesssim K_0+K_1+K_2 \tag{123}$$

where the functions K_n are given by

$$K_0:=e^{-\frac{2\alpha}{p-1}S}\|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(0)\|_\infty;$$

$$K_1 := \int_0^S e^{-\frac{2\alpha}{p-1}(S-\sigma)} \|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} V_2 \eta(\sigma)\|_\infty d\sigma,$$

$$K_2 := \sum_{n=2}^{4} \int_0^S e^{-\frac{2\alpha}{p-1}(S-\sigma)} \|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} D_n\|_\infty d\sigma.$$

We estimate the K_n's, $n = 0, 1, 2$.

(K0) We start with K_0. By (108) and the decay of $e^{-\frac{2\alpha}{p-1}S}$ we have

$$K_0 \lesssim M_2(0) + \beta^{1/2}(0) M_1(0). \tag{124}$$

(K1) By the definition of V_2 we have

$$\|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} V_2 \eta(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \|\frac{1}{p-1+\beta(\tau(\sigma))z^2} e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} \eta(\sigma)\|_\infty.$$

Moreover by the relation between ξ and η in Equation (77) and Proposition 9 we have

$$\max_{0\le\sigma\le S} \|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} V_2 \eta(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \max_{T\ge\tau\ge0} \|\frac{1}{p-1+\beta y^2} e^{\frac{a(\tau) y^2}{4}} \xi(\tau)\|_\infty.$$

Using that $D = C/\sqrt{\beta}$ in (35), we find

$$\frac{1}{p-1+\beta y^2} \chi_{\ge D}(y) \le \epsilon(C) := \frac{1}{p-1+C^2}, \tag{125}$$

which implies

$$\|\frac{1}{p-1+\beta y^2} e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}} \xi(\tau)\|_\infty \le \epsilon(C) \|\chi_{\ge D} e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}} \xi(\tau)\|_\infty + \|\chi_{\le D} e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}} \xi(\tau)\|_\infty.$$

By the definition of the function $\chi_{\le D}$ in Equation (35) we have that for any $\tau \le T$, $\chi_{\le D}\langle y\rangle^3 \lesssim \beta^{-3/2}(\tau)$, which implies

$$\|\chi_{\le D} e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}} \xi(\tau)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^{-3/2}(s) \|\chi_{\le D} \langle y\rangle^{-3} e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}} \xi(\tau)\|_\infty.$$

Collecting the estimates above, recalling the definitions of $M_n, n = 1, 2$, in (37), we obtain

$$K_1 \quad \lesssim \quad \max_{S\ge\sigma\ge0} \|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} V_2 \eta(\sigma)\|_\infty \int_0^S e^{-\frac{2\alpha}{p-1}(S-\sigma)} d\sigma \lesssim \epsilon(C) M_2(T) + \beta^{1/2}(0) M_1(T). \tag{126}$$

(K2) By the definitions of D_n, $n = 2, 3, 4$, and Equations (119)-(121) we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{4} \|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}} D_n(\sigma)\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(\tau(\sigma))[1 + M_2(T) + M_1(T)A(T) + M_1^2(T) + M_1^p(T)] + M_2^2(T) + M_2^p(T)$$

and consequently

$$K_2 \lesssim \beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)[1 + M_2(T) + M_1(T)A(T) + M_1^2(T) + M_1^p(T)] + M_2^2(T) + M_2^p(T). \tag{127}$$

Collecting the estimates (123)-(127) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(S)\|_\infty \lesssim \; & M_2(0)+\beta^{1/2}(0)M_1(0)+\epsilon(C)M_2(T)+\beta^{1/2}(0)M_1(T)\\ & +\beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)[1+M_2(T)+M_1(T)A(T)+M_1^2(T)+M_1^p(T)]+M_2^2(T)+M_2^p(T).\end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

The relation between ξ and η in Equation (77) implies

$$\|\chi_{\geq D}e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}\xi(T)\|_\infty \leq \|e^{\frac{ay^2}{4}}\xi(T)\|_\infty=\|e^{\frac{\alpha z^2}{4}}\eta(S)\|_\infty$$

which together with (128) gives

$$\begin{aligned}M_2(T) \lesssim \; & M_2(0)+\beta^{1/2}(0)M_1(0)+\epsilon(C)M_2(T)+\beta^{1/2}(0)M_1(T)+M_2^2(T)+M_2^p(T)\\ & +\beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)[1+M_2(T)+M_1(T)A(T)+M_1^2(T)+M_1^p(T)].\end{aligned}$$

Choosing C so large that $\epsilon(C)$ in Equation (125) is sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}M_2(T) \lesssim \; & M_2(0)+\beta^{1/2}(0)M_1(0)+M_2^2(T)+M_2^p(T)\\ & +\beta^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}(0)[1+M_2(T)+M_1(T)A(T)+M_1^2(T)+M_1^p(T)].\end{aligned}$$

Since T is an arbitrary time, the proof of the estimate (42) for M_2 is complete.

A The Local Well-Posedness of and a Blowup Criterion for (1)

In this section we prove the local well-posedness of (1) in $C([0,T],L^\infty)$. The proof is standard and is presented for the reader's convenience as we did not find it in the literature.

Theorem 17. *Let* $u_0\in L^\infty$. *For* $T=\frac{1}{2}\min[\left((2p)^p\|u_0\|_\infty^{p-1}\right)^{-1},1]$ *there exists a unique function* $u\in C([0,T],L^\infty)$ *satisfying the nonlinear heat equation* (1). *The solution* u *depends continuously on the initial condition* u_0. *Moreover, the solution* u *satisfies the estimate*

$$\|u\|_{C([0,T],L^\infty)}\leq \max[2^{\frac{1}{p}}p\|u_0\|_\infty,2^{\frac{1}{p}}\|u_0\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{p}}].$$

Furthermore, either the solution is global in time or blows up in L^∞ *in a finite time.*

Proof. Using Duhamel's principle, Equation (1) can be written as the fixed point equation $u=H(u)$, where

$$H(u):=e^{t\partial_x^2}u_0+\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\partial_x^2}|u|^{p-1}u(s)\,ds. \tag{129}$$

Thus, the proof of existence and uniqueness will be complete if we can show that the map H has a unique fixed point in the ball

$$B_R:=\{u\in X,\ \|u\|_X\leq R\},$$

where $X=:C([0,T],L^\infty)$ and $R:=2\|u_0\|_\infty$. We prove this statement via the contraction mapping principle.

We begin by proving that H is a well-defined map from B_R to B_R. The estimate

$$\left\|e^{t\partial_x^2}u_0\right\|_X\leq\|u_0\|_\infty \tag{130}$$

is obtained by using the integral kernel of $e^{t\partial_x^2}$, $e^{t\partial_x^2}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}}e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}$, defined for $t>0$ and its property that $\int e^{t\partial_x^2}(x,y)\,dy = 1$. Similarly, we find that if $t<T$, then

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\partial_x^2} |u|^{p-1} u(s)\,ds \right\|_X \le T \, \|u\|_X^p \,. \tag{131}$$

Estimates (130) and (131) imply that for $T<\infty$, $H : B_R \to B_R$.

We prove that $H : B_R \to B_R$ is a strict contraction. Recall the definition of T in the statement of the theorem. Consider

$$\|H(u_1) - H(u_2)\|_X \le \left\| \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{t}} |u_1|^{p-1}u_1(y,s) - |u_2|^{p-1}u(y,s)|\,dy\,ds \right\|_X .$$

Using that $u_1, u_2 \in B_R$, we obtain the estimate $||u_1|^{p-1}u_2 - |u_2|^{p-1}u_2| \le p|u_1-u_2|R^{p-1}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\|H(u_1) - H(u_2)\|_X &\le p \sup_{[0,T]} \sup_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}\,dy\,ds \|u_1-u_2\|_X R^{p-1} \\ &\le p\|u_1-u_2\|_X R^{p-1} T.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $T < \frac{1}{2}\min\{\left(p^p\|u_0\|_\infty^{p-1}\right)^{-1}, 1\}$, then H is a strict contraction in B_R. Substituting the choice $T = \frac{1}{2}\min\{\left(p^p\|u_0\|_\infty^{p-1}\right)^{-1}, 1\}$ into the expression for R completes the proof of existence and uniqueness of u and the estimate on it.

It remains to prove that solution to the initial value problem is continuous with respect to changes in the initial condition u_0. Let u and v be the solutions with initial conditions u_0 and v_0. We estimate

$$\|u-v\|_X \le \|e^{t\partial_x^2}(u_0-v_0)\|_X + \|\int_0^t e^{(t-s)\partial_x^2}(u^p(s) - v^p(s))\,ds\|_X.$$

The estimate of these terms proceeds as above (take $u_1 = u$ and $u_2 = v$) and if $u, v \in B_R$, then

$$\|u-v\|_X \le \|u_0 - v_0\|_\infty + \frac{1}{2}\|u-v\|_X.$$

Thus, if T is as above, then $\|u-v\|_X \le 2\|u_0-v_0\|_\infty$ completing the proof of continuity.

Finally, assume $[0,t_*)$ is the maximal interval of existence of u and $\sup_{0\le t<t_*}\|u(t)\|_\infty := M < \infty$. Let $T := \frac{1}{2}\min\{((2p)^p M^{p-1})^{-1}, 1\}$. Then taking $u(t_* - \frac{1}{2}T)$ as a new initial condition, we see that the solution exists in the interval $[0, t_* + \frac{1}{2}T)$, a contradiction. This proves the dichotomy claimed in the theorem. □

The theorem below gives a blowup criterion for (1) using the Lyapunov functional $S(w)$ defined in (9). Here, recall, $w(y,s) := (t^*-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}u(x,t)$ with $x = \sqrt{t^*-t}y$ and $t^*-t = e^{-s}$. Now we consider t^* as a parameter and denote $T = t^* - t$. Then $S(w) = S_T(u)$, where

$$S_T(u) = T^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{p+3}{p-1}} \int \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1} \right) \rho(x)\,dx + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{p-1} T^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{p-5}{p-1}} \int |u|^2 \rho(x)\,dx$$

and $\rho(y) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}y^2}$.

Theorem 18. *Let the initial condition u_0 satisfy $S_T(u_0) < 0$, modulo a shift, for some $T > 0$. Then* (1) *blows up in a finite time $t^* \leq T$.*

Proof. Assume (1) has a solution, u, up to time T for an initial condition u_0 as in the theorem. Let w be as defined in the paragraph preceding the theorem with T as in the theorem. The time derivative of the functional $I(w) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^2(y,s)\rho(y)\,dy$ along solutions to (8) is

$$\frac{d}{ds}I(w) = -2S(w) + \frac{p-1}{p+1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w|^{p+1}\rho\,dy.$$

We use Hölder's inequality to obtain the estimate $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|w|^2\rho\,dy \leq (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{p-1}{p+1}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|w|^{p+1}\rho\right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}$. This and the fact that S is monotonically decreasing (see (10)) result in the inequality

$$\frac{d}{ds}I(w) \geq -2S(w_0) + \frac{p-1}{p+1}(4\pi)^{\frac{1-p}{4}}I(w)^{\frac{p+1}{2}},$$

and hence if $S(w_0)$ is negative, $I(w)$ blows up in finite time and therefore so does w. This contradicts our assumption that u exists on $[0,T]$ and, consequently, w exist globally. To complete the proof, we write $S(w_0)$ in terms of $S_T(u_0)$. ☐

B Blow-up Dynamics

In this appendix we investigate the function relation between the parameters a, b and c different from $a = 2c - \frac{1}{2}$.

First we observe the following key fact: if (a, b, c, ξ), $a = f(b,c)$, is a stationary solution to (1) satisfying the estimate $\|\langle y\rangle^{-3}e^{\frac{a}{4}y^2}\xi\| \lesssim b^2$, then

$$f(0, \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{132}$$

Indeed, if $b = 0$, then the estimate above gives that $\xi = 0$ and therefore $v(y,\tau) = (\frac{2c}{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Since $v(y,\tau)$ satisfies (18), this implies (132).

In order to simplify our argument, we assume that $f(b,c)$ is of the form $lc + k$ for some constant l, k. By (132) we have that $k = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}l$. Thus we have $a = lc + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}l$.

Proposition 19. *For $l > 1$, the different functions $a = lc + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}l$ lead to dynamics equivalent up to rescaling of (1).*

Proof. First we recall that following key points when we prove the case $a = 2c - \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $l = 2$. We decompose the solution of (1) as

$$u_{l=2}(x,t) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(t)[(\frac{2c(\tau)}{p-1+b(\tau)y^2})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \eta(y,\tau)] \tag{133}$$

with η satisfying $\|\langle x\rangle^{-3}\eta(x,0)\| = o(b(0))$ and some orthogonality conditions, and τ and y as defined in (33). And for any l we define

$$a(t(\tau)) := \lambda^{-3}(t)\frac{d}{dt}\lambda(t). \tag{134}$$

We require $2c(0) = c_{l=2}(0) = 1 - \frac{2}{p-1}b(0) + O(b^2(0))$. Using Equations (65) and (66) we get that $2c_{l=2}(\tau) = 1 - \frac{2}{p-1}b(\tau) + O(b^2)$ and $b(\tau) \to 0^+$, $\frac{dc_{l=2}(\tau)}{d\tau} = O(b^3)$. On the other hand we have that if $2c(0) = 2c_l(0) = 1 + \frac{2}{(1-l)(p-1)}b(0) + O(b^2(0))$ and $\|\langle x\rangle^{-3}\eta(x,0)\| = o(b(0))$, we fix the function as

$$a = lc_l + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}l, \tag{135}$$

after going through the same procedure we prove that $2c_l(\tau) = 1 + \frac{2}{(1-l)(p-1)}b(\tau) + O(b^2)$, $b(\tau) \to 0^+$, $\frac{d}{d\tau}c_l(\tau) = O(b^3)$. The two equations are related to each other in the following sense.

If $c(0)$ in (133) satisfies the condition that $c(0) = c_l(0) = 1 + \frac{2}{(1-l)(p-1)}b(0) + O(b^2)$ for $l > 1$ then we rewrite

$$u_{l=2}(y,\tau) = \lambda_1^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(t)[(\frac{2c_1(\tau)}{p-1+\beta(\tau)y_1^2})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \eta_2(y_1,\tau)]$$

with $\lambda_1(t) := \lambda(t)\sqrt{\frac{c_{l=2}(\tau(t))}{c_{l_0}(\tau(t))}}$, $y_1 := \lambda_1(t)x$ and $\beta(\tau) := b(\tau)\frac{c_{l_0}(\tau)}{c_{l=2}(\tau)}$ and η_2 from $\eta(y,\tau) = o(b)$. We compute to get

$$a_1 := \lambda_1^{-3}(t)\frac{d}{dt}\lambda_1(t) = a_{l=2}(\frac{c_{l=2}(\tau(t))}{c_l(\tau(t))})^2 + O(b^3) = a_l + O(b^2)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\beta = -\frac{4p}{(p-1)^2}\beta^2 + O(b^3)$$

thus $a_1 = lc_l + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}l + O(b^2)$ which is consistent with (134) and (135) (the remainder $O(b^2)$ in the function of a_1 can be erased by adding some correction on c_l). Thus the case $l = 2$ can be transformed into the other $l > 1$ cases. By similar argument we prove that all these are equivalent. □

Now we remark on the dynamics of the parameters a, b and c described by Equations (65) and (66) if we neglect the remainder terms determined by the fluctuations ξ. In other words we consider the truncated dynamical system for the parameters b and c which reads

$$b_\tau = -\frac{2}{p-1}\left(1 + \frac{2p}{p-1}\right)b^2 + 2(c-a)b + O(b^3), \tag{136}$$

$$c_\tau = 2c(c-a) - \frac{2}{p-1}bc + O(b^3). \tag{137}$$

A simple computation shows that if $a = lc + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}l$ and $l > 1$, then the point $(b,c) = (0, \frac{1}{2})$ is marginally stable for (136) and (137).

C Spectrum of the Linear Operator $\mathcal{L}_{abc}$

We assume that the $|a_\tau|$ term is negligible in comparison with a and consider the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc}$, which differs from $\mathcal{L}_{abc}$ by the term $\frac{1}{4}a_\tau y^2$:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc} := -\partial_y^2 + \frac{1}{4}a^2y^2 - \frac{a}{2} + \frac{2a}{p-1} - \frac{2pc}{p-1+by^2}.$$

Due to the quadratic term $\frac{1}{4}ay^2$, the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc}$ has a purely discrete spectrum. We can obtain a better understanding of its eigenvalues by comparing it to the harmonic oscillator

$$\mathcal{L}_0 := -\partial_y^2 + \frac{1}{4}a^2y^2 - \frac{a}{2}. \tag{138}$$

Then $\mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{2}{p-1}(a-pc)$ and $\mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{2a}{p-1}$ approximate $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc}$ near zero and at infinity, respectively. The spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{L}_0$ is

$$\sigma\left(\mathcal{L}_0\right) = \left\{na|\ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\right\}. \tag{139}$$

The first three normalized eigenvectors of $\mathcal{L}_0$, which are used in the main part of the paper, are

$$\phi_{0a} := \left(\frac{a}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2},\ \phi_{1a} := \left(\frac{a}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{a}ye^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2}, \phi_{2a} := \left(\frac{a}{8\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} (1-ay^2)e^{-\frac{a}{4}y^2}. \tag{140}$$

Proposition 20. *If* $p > 1$*,* $c \geq 0$ *and* $b \geq 0$*, then the eigenvalues* λ_n *of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc}$ *satisfy the bounds*

$$na + \frac{2a}{p-1} \geq \lambda_n \geq na + \frac{2}{p-1}(a-pc). \tag{141}$$

Proof. First we show that

$$\mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{2a}{p-1} > \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc} > \mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{2}{p-1}(a-pc). \tag{142}$$

Since $p > 1$, $b \geq 0$ and $c \geq 0$, $0 < \frac{2pc}{p-1+by^2} \leq \frac{2pc}{p-1}$, and hence (142). The n-th eigenvalue of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc}$ (starting from $n = 0$) is by the MinMax principle

$$\lambda_n = \sup_{\dim X = n} \inf_{\{\psi \in X^\perp | \|\psi\| = 1\}} \left\langle \psi, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc}\psi \right\rangle. \tag{143}$$

Using the inequality $\langle \psi, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc}\psi\rangle \geq \langle \psi, \mathcal{L}_0\psi\rangle + \frac{2}{p-1}(a-pc)\langle\psi,\psi\rangle$ and the characterization of the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_0$ we obtain

$$\lambda_n \geq \sup_{\dim X = n} \inf_{\{\psi \in X^\perp | \|\psi\| = 1\}} \langle \psi, \mathcal{L}_0\psi\rangle + \frac{2}{p-1}(a-pc) = na + \frac{2}{p-1}(a-pc) \tag{144}$$

and similarly for the upper bound. □

Equation (66) and the relation $a = 2c - \frac{1}{2}$ suggests that $c = a + \mathrm{O}(b)$ where b is small. In this case Equation (141) shows that the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc}$ has at most three non-positive eigenvalues. The second eigenvalue corresponds to an odd eigenfunction and therefore drops out if we assume that the initial condition $u_0(x)$ is even (so that $x_0 = 0$, otherwise one has to use the parameter x_0). The two parameters b and c are chosen so that the fluctuation ξ is orthogonal to the other two eigenfunctions. Hence on the space of ξ's the linear operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{abc}$ has strictly positive spectrum.

D Proof of the Feynmann-Kac Formula

In this appendix we present, for the reader's convenience, a proof of the Feynman-Kac formula (87)-(88) and the estimate (91) (cf. [5]). For stochastic calculus proofs of similar formulae see [10, 24, 27, 28, 42].

Let $L_0 := -\partial_y^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}y^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $L := L_0 + V$ where V is a multiplication operator by a function $V(y,\tau)$, which is bounded and Lipschitz continuous in τ. Let $U(\tau,\sigma)$ and $U_0(\tau,\sigma)$ be the propagators generated by the operators $-L$ and $-L_0$, respectively. The integral kernels of these operators will be denoted by $U(\tau,\sigma)(x,y)$ and $U_0(\tau,\sigma)(x,y)$.

Theorem 21. *The integral kernel of $U(\tau,\sigma)$ can be represented as*

$$U(\tau,\sigma)(x,y) = U_0(\tau,\sigma)(x,y)\int e^{\int_\sigma^\tau V(\omega_0(s)+\omega(s),s)ds}d\mu(\omega) \tag{145}$$

where $d\mu(\omega)$ is a probability measure (more precisely, a conditional harmonic oscillator, or Ornstein-Uhlenbeck, probability measure) on the continuous paths $\omega : [\sigma,\tau] \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\omega(\sigma) = \omega(\tau) = 0$, and $\omega_0(\cdot)$ is the path defined as

$$\omega_0(s) = e^{\alpha(\tau-s)}\frac{e^{2\alpha\sigma}-e^{2\alpha s}}{e^{2\alpha\sigma}-e^{2\alpha\tau}}x + e^{\alpha(\sigma-s)}\frac{e^{2\alpha\tau}-e^{2\alpha s}}{e^{2\alpha\tau}-e^{2\alpha\sigma}}y. \tag{146}$$

Remark 5. *$d\mu(\omega)$ is the Gaussian measure with mean zero and covariance $(-\partial_s^2+\alpha^2)^{-1}$, normalized to 1. The path $\omega_0(s)$ solves the boundary value problem*

$$(-\partial_s^2+\alpha^2)\omega_0 = 0 \text{ with } \omega(\sigma) = y \text{ and } \omega(\tau) = x. \tag{147}$$

Below we will also deal with the normalized Gaussian measure $d\mu_{xy}(\omega)$ with mean $\omega_0(s)$ and covariance $(-\partial_s^2+\alpha^2)^{-1}$. This is a conditional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck probability measure on continuous paths $\omega : [\sigma,\tau] \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\omega(\sigma) = y$ and $\omega(\tau) = x$ (see e.g. [24, 27, 42]).

Now, assume in addition that the function $V(y,\tau)$ satisfies the estimates

$$V \le 0 \text{ and } |\partial_y V(y,\tau)| \lesssim \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\tau) \tag{148}$$

where $\beta(\tau)$ is a positive function. Then Theorem 21 implies Equation (91) by the following corollary.

Corollary 22. *Under (148),*

$$|\partial_y \int e^{\int_\sigma^\tau V(\omega_0(s)+\omega(s),s)ds}d\mu(\omega)| \lesssim |\tau-\sigma| \sup_{\sigma\le s\le\tau} \beta^{1/2}(\tau)$$

Proof. By Fubini's theorem

$$\partial_y \int e^{\int_\sigma^\tau V(\omega_0(s)+\omega(s),s)ds}d\mu(\omega) = \int \partial_y[\int_0^\tau V(\omega_0(s)+\omega(s),s)ds]e^{\int_\sigma^\tau V(\omega_0(s)+\omega(s),s)ds}d\mu(\omega)$$

Equation (148) implies

$$|\partial_y \int_\sigma^\tau V(\omega_0(s)+\omega(s),s)ds| \le |\tau-\sigma| \sup_{\sigma\le s\le\tau} \beta^{1/2}(\tau)|, \text{ and } e^{\int_\sigma^\tau V(\omega_0(s)+\omega(s),s)ds} \le 1.$$

Thus

$$|\partial_y \int e^{\int_\sigma^\tau V(\omega_0(s)+\omega(s),s)ds} d\mu(\omega)| \lesssim |\tau-\sigma| \sup_{\sigma\le s\le\tau} \beta^{1/2}(\tau)| \int d\mu(\omega) = |\tau-\sigma| \sup_{\sigma\le s\le\tau} \beta^{1/2}(\tau)|$$

to complete the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 21. We begin with the following extension of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process-based Feynman-Kac formula to time-dependent potentials:

$$U(\tau,\sigma)(x,y) = U_0(\tau,\sigma)(x,y) \int e^{-\int_\sigma^\tau V(\omega(s),s)\, ds} d\mu_{xy}(\omega). \tag{149}$$

where $d\mu_{xy}(w)$ is the conditional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck probability measure described in Remark 5 above. This formula can be proven in the same way as the one for time independent potentials (see [24], Equation (3.2.8)), i.e. by using the Kato-Trotter formula and evaluation of Gaussian measures on cylindrical sets. Since its proof contains a slight technical wrinkle, for the reader's convenience we present it below.

Now changing the variable of integration in (149) as $\omega = \omega_0 + \tilde{\omega}$, where $\tilde{\omega}(s)$ is a continuous path with boundary conditions $\tilde{\omega}(\sigma) = \tilde{\omega}(\tau) = 0$, using the translational change of variables formula $\int f(\omega)\, d\mu_{xy}(\omega) = \int f(\omega_0+\tilde{\omega})\, d\mu(\tilde{\omega})$, which can be proven by taking $f(\omega) = e^{i\langle\omega,\zeta\rangle}$ and using (147) (see [24], Equation (9.1.27)) and omitting the tilde over ω we arrive at (145). □

There are at least three standard ways to prove (149): by using the Kato-Trotter formula, by expanding both sides of the equation in V and comparing the resulting series term by term and by using Ito's calculus (see [28, 42, 41, 24]). The first two proofs are elementary but involve tedious estimates while the third proof is based on a fair amount of stochastic calculus. For the reader's convenience, we present the first elementary proof of (149).

Before starting proving (149) we establish an auxiliary result. We define the operator $\mathcal{K}$ as

$$\mathcal{K}(\sigma,\delta) := \int_0^\delta U_0(\sigma+\delta,\sigma+s)V(\sigma+s,\cdot)U_0(\sigma+s,\sigma)ds - U_0(\sigma+\delta,\sigma)\int_0^\delta V(\sigma+s,\cdot)ds \tag{150}$$

Lemma 23. *For any $\sigma \in [0,\tau]$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty$ we have, as $\delta \to 0^+$,*

$$\sup_{0\le\sigma\le\tau} \|\frac{1}{\delta}\mathcal{K}(\sigma,\delta)U(\sigma,0)\xi\|_2 \to 0. \tag{151}$$

Proof. If the potential term, V, is independent of τ, then the proof is standard (see, e.g. [41]). We use the property that the function V is Lipschitz continuous in time τ to prove (151). The operator $\mathcal{K}$ can be further decomposed as

$$\mathcal{K}(\sigma,\delta) = \mathcal{K}_1(\sigma,\delta) + \mathcal{K}_2(\sigma,\delta)$$

with

$$\mathcal{K}_1(\sigma,\delta) := \int_0^\delta U_0(\sigma+\delta,\sigma+s)V(\sigma,\cdot)U_0(\sigma+s,\sigma)ds - \delta U_0(\sigma+\delta,\sigma)V(\sigma,\cdot)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_2(\sigma,\delta) := \int_0^\delta U_0(\sigma+\delta,\sigma+s)[V(\sigma+s,\cdot)-V(\sigma,\cdot)]U_0(\sigma+s,\sigma)ds - U_0(\sigma+\delta,\sigma)\int_0^\delta [V(\sigma+s,\cdot)-V(\sigma,\cdot)]ds.$$

Since $U_0(\tau,\sigma)$ are uniformly L^2-bounded and V is bounded, we have $U(\tau,\sigma)$ is uniformly L^2-bounded. This together with the fact that the function $V(\tau,y)$ is Lipschitz continuous in τ implies that

$$\|\mathcal{K}_2(\sigma,\delta)\|_{L^2\to L^2} \lesssim 2\int_0^\delta s ds = \delta^2.$$

We rewrite $\mathcal{K}_1(\sigma,\delta)$ as

$$\mathcal{K}_1(\sigma,\delta) = \int_0^\delta U_0(\sigma+\delta,\sigma+s)\{V(\sigma,\cdot)[U_0(\sigma+s,\sigma)-1]-[U_0(\sigma+s,\sigma)-1]V(\sigma,\cdot)\}ds.$$

Let $\xi(\sigma) = U(\sigma,0)\xi$. We claim that for a fixed $\sigma\in[0,\tau]$,

$$\|\mathcal{K}_1(\sigma,\delta)\xi(\sigma)\|_2 = o(\delta). \tag{152}$$

Indeed, the fact $\xi_0\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty$ implies that $L_0\xi(\sigma),\ L_0V(\sigma)\xi(\sigma)\in L^2$. Consequently (see [40])

$$\lim_{s\to 0^+}\frac{(U_0(\sigma+s,\sigma)-1)g}{s}\to L_0 g,$$

for $g=\xi(\sigma)$ or $V(\sigma,y)\xi(\sigma)$ which implies our claim. Since the set of functions $\{\xi(\sigma)|\sigma\in[0,\tau]\}\subset L_0L^2$ is compact and $\|\frac{1}{\delta}K_1(\sigma,\delta)\|_{L^2\to L^2}$ is uniformly bounded, we have (152) as $\delta\to 0$ uniformly in $\sigma\in[0,\tau]$.

Collecting the estimates on the operators $\mathcal{K}_i,\ i=1,2$, we arrive at (151). □

Lemma 24. *Equation* (149) *holds.*

Proof. In order to simplify our notation, in the proof that follows we assume, without losing generality, that $\sigma=0$. We divide the proof into two parts. First we prove that for any fixed $\xi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty$ the following Kato-Trotter type formula holds

$$U(\tau,0)\xi = \lim_{n\to\infty}\prod_{0\le k\le n-1} U_0(\frac{k+1}{n}\tau,\frac{k}{n}\tau)e^{\int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(y,s)ds}\xi \tag{153}$$

in the L^2 space. We start with the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&U(\tau,0) - \prod_{0\le k\le n-1} U_0(\frac{k+1}{n}\tau,\frac{k}{n}\tau)e^{\int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(y,s)ds}\\
=\ &\prod_{0\le k\le n-1} U(\frac{k+1}{n}\tau,\frac{k}{n}\tau) - \prod_{0\le k\le n-1} U_0(\frac{k+1}{n}\tau,\frac{k}{n}\tau)e^{\int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(y,s)ds}\\
=\ &\sum_{0\le j\le n}\prod_{j\le k\le n-1} U_0(\frac{k+1}{n}\tau,\frac{k}{n}\tau)e^{\int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(y,s)ds} A_j U(\frac{j}{n}\tau,0)
\end{aligned}$$

with the operator

$$A_j := U_0(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, \frac{j}{n}\tau)e^{\int_{\frac{j\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(j+1)\tau}{n}} V(y,s)ds} - U(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, \frac{j}{n}\tau).$$

We observe that $\|U_0(\tau,\sigma)\|_{L^2\to L^2} \leq 1$, and moreover by the boundness of V, the operator $U(\tau,\sigma)$ is uniformly bounded in τ and σ in any compact set. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|[U(\tau,0) - \prod_{0\leq k\leq n-1} U_0(\frac{k+1}{n}\tau, \frac{k}{n}\tau)e^{\int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(y,s)ds}]\xi\|_2 \\
\leq \ & \max_j n\| \prod_{j\leq k\leq n-1} U_0(\frac{k+1}{n}\tau, \frac{k}{n}\tau)e^{\int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(y,s)ds} A_j U(\frac{j}{n}\tau, 0)\xi\|_2 \\
\lesssim \ & n \max_j \|A_j + \mathcal{K}(\frac{k}{n}\tau, \frac{1}{n}\tau)\|_{L^2\to L^2} + \max_j n\|\mathcal{K}(\frac{j}{n}\tau, \frac{1}{n}\tau)U(\frac{j}{n}, 0)\xi\|_2
\end{aligned} \tag{154}$$

where, recall the definition of $\mathcal{K}$ from (150). Now we claim that

$$\|A_j + \mathcal{K}(\frac{k}{n}\tau, \frac{1}{n}\tau)\|_{L^2\to L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2}. \tag{155}$$

Indeed, by Duhamel's principle we have

$$U(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, \frac{j}{n}\tau) = U_0(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, \frac{j}{n}\tau) + \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}\tau} U_0(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, s)V(y,s)U(s, \frac{j}{n}\tau)ds.$$

Iterating this equation on $U(s, \frac{k}{n}\tau)$ and using the fact that $U(s,t)$ is uniformly bounded if s,t is on a compact set, we obtain

$$\|U(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, \frac{j}{n}\tau) - U_0(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, \frac{j}{n}\tau) - \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}\tau} U_0(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, s)V(y,s)U_0(s, \frac{j}{n}\tau)ds\|_{L^2\to L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

On the other hand we expand $e^{\int_{\frac{j\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(j+1)\tau}{n}} V(y,s)ds}$ and use the fact that V is bounded to get

$$\|U_0(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, \frac{j}{n}\tau)e^{\int_{\frac{j\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(j+1)\tau}{n}} V(y,s)ds} - U_0(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, \frac{j}{n}\tau) - U_0(\frac{j+1}{n}\tau, \frac{j}{n}\tau)\int_{\frac{j\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(j+1)\tau}{n}} V(y,s)ds\|_{L^2\to L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{K}$ and A_j we complete the proof of (155). Equations (151), (154) and (155) imply (153). This completes the first step.

In the second step we compute the integral kernel, $G_n(x,y)$, of the operator

$$G_n := \prod_{0\leq k\leq n-1} U_0(\frac{k+1}{n}\tau, \frac{k}{n}\tau)e^{\int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(\cdot,s)ds}$$

in (153). By the definition, $G_n(x,y)$ can be written as

$$G_n(x,y) = \int\cdots\int \prod_{0\leq k\leq n-1} U_{\frac{\tau}{n}}(x_{k+1}, x_k)e^{\int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(x_k,s)ds} dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-1} \tag{156}$$

with $x_n := x,\ x_0 := y$ and $U_\tau(x,y) \equiv U_0(0,\tau)(x,y)$ is the integral kernel of the operator $U_0(\tau,0) = e^{-L_0\tau}$. We rewrite (156) as

$$G_n(x,y) = U_\tau(x,y) \int e^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(x_k,s)\,ds} d\mu_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n), \tag{157}$$

where

$$d\mu_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := \frac{\prod_{0\le k\le n-1} U_{\frac{\tau}{n}}(x_{k+1},x_k)}{U_\tau(x,y)} dx_1 \ldots dx_{k-1}.$$

Since $G_n(x,y)|_{V=0} = U_\tau(x,y)$ we have that $\int d\mu_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = 1$. Let $\Delta := \Delta_1 \times \ldots \times \Delta_n$, where Δ_j is an interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Define a cylinderical set

$$P_\Delta^n := \{\omega : [0,\tau] \to \mathbb{R} \mid \omega(0) = y,\ \omega(\tau) = x,\ \omega(k\tau/n) \in \Delta_k,\ 1 \le k \le n-1\}.$$

By the definition of the measure $d\mu_{xy}(\omega)$, we have $\mu_{xy}(P_\Delta^n) = \int_\Delta d\mu_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$. Thus, we can rewrite (157) as

$$G_n(x,y) = U_\tau(x,y) \int e^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(\omega(\frac{k\tau}{n}),s)\,ds} d\mu_{xy}(\omega), \tag{158}$$

By the dominated convergence theorem the integral on the right hand side of (158) converges in the sense of distributions as $n \to \infty$ to the integral on the right hand side of (149). Since the left hand side of (158) converges to the left hand side of (149), also in the sense of distributions (which follows from the fact that G_n converges in the operator norm on L^2 to $U(\tau,\sigma)$), (149) follows. □

Note that on the level of finite dimensional approximations the change of variables formula can be derived as follows. It is tedious, but not hard, to prove that

$$\prod_{0\le k\le n-1} U_n(x_{k+1},x_k) = e^{-\alpha\frac{(x-e^{-\alpha\tau}y)^2}{2(1-e^{-2\alpha\tau})}} \prod_{0\le k\le n-1} U_n(y_{k+1},y_k)$$

with $y_k := x_k - \omega_0(\frac{k}{n}\tau)$. By the definition of $\omega_0(s)$ and the relations $x_0 = y$ and $x_n = x$ we have

$$G_n(x,y) = U_\tau(x,y) G_n^{(1)}(x,y) \tag{159}$$

where

$$G_n^{(1)}(x,y) := \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{\alpha}(1-e^{-2\alpha\tau})} \int \cdots \int \prod_{0\le k\le n-1} U_n(y_{k+1},y_k) e^{\int_{\frac{k\tau}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)\tau}{n}} V(y_k+\omega_0(\frac{k\tau}{n}),s)ds} dy_1 \cdots dy_{k-1}. \tag{160}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} G_n\xi$ exists by (151), we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} G_n^{(1)}\xi$ (in the weak limit) exists also. As shown in [24], $\lim_{n\to\infty} G_n^{(1)} = \int e^{\int_0^\tau V(\omega_0(s)+\omega(s),s)ds} d\mu(\omega)$ with $d\mu$ being the (conditional) Ornstein-Uhlenbeck measure on the set of path from 0 to 0. This completes the derivation of the change of variables formula.

Remark 6. *In fact, Equations (153), (159) and (160) suffice to prove the estimate in Corollary 22.*

References

[1] J. M. Ball. Remarks on blow-up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 28(112):473–486, 1977.

[2] A. J. Bernoff, A. L. Bertozzi, and T. P. Witelski. Axisymmetric surface diffusion: dynamics and stability of self-similar pinchoff. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 93(3-4):725–776, 1998.

[3] M. D. Betterton and M. P. Brenner. Collapsing bacterial cylinders. *Phys. Rev. E*, 64(6):061904, Nov 2001.

[4] M. P. Brenner, P. Constantin, L. P. Kadanoff, A. Schenkel, and S. C. Venkataramani. Diffusion, attraction and collapse. *Nonlinearity*, 12(4):1071–1098, 1999.

[5] J. Bricmont and A. Kupiainen. Universality in blow-up for nonlinear heat equations. *Nonlinearity*, 7(2):539–575, 1994.

[6] V. S. Buslaev and G. S. Perelman. Scattering for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation: states that are close to a soliton. *Algebra i Analiz*, 4(6):63–102, 1992.

[7] V. S. Buslaev and C. Sulem. On asymptotic stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 20(3):419–475, 2003.

[8] S. J. Chapman, B. J. Hunton, and J. R. Ockendon. Vortices and boundaries. *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 56(3):507–519, 1998.

[9] M. Chipot. *Elements of nonlinear analysis*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks]. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.

[10] R. Durrett. *Stochastic calculus*. Probability and Stochastics Series. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996. A practical introduction.

[11] L. C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[12] C. Fermanian Kammerer, F. Merle, and H. Zaag. Stability of the blow-up profile of non-linear heat equations from the dynamical system point of view. *Math. Ann.*, 317(2):347–387, 2000.

[13] S. Filippas, M. A. Herrero, and J. J. L. Velázquez. Fast blow-up mechanisms for sign-changing solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with critical nonlinearity. *R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 456(2004):2957–2982, 2000.

[14] S. Filippas and R. V. Kohn. Refined asymptotics for the blowup of $u_t - \Delta u = u^p$. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45(7):821–869, 1992.

[15] S. Filippas and W. X. Liu. On the blowup of multidimensional semilinear heat equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 10(3):313–344, 1993.

[16] S. Filippas and F. Merle. Modulation theory for the blowup of vector-valued nonlinear heat equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 116(1):119–148, 1995.

[17] S. Filippas and F. Merle. Compactness and single-point blowup of positive solutions on bounded domains. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 127(1):47–65, 1997.

[18] H. Fujita. On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I*, 13:109–124 (1966), 1966.

[19] V. A. Galaktionov and S. A. Posashkov. Application of new comparison theorems to the investigation of unbounded solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations. *Differential Equations*, 22(7):805–815, 1986.

[20] Y. Giga and R. V. Kohn. Asymptotically self-similar blow-up of semilinear heat equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 38(3):297–319, 1985.

[21] Y. Giga and R. V. Kohn. Characterizing blowup using similarity variables. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 36(1):1–40, 1987.

[22] Y. Giga and R. V. Kohn. Nondegeneracy of blowup for semilinear heat equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 42(6):845–884, 1989.

[23] Y. Giga, S. Matsui, and S. Sasayama. Blow up rate for semilinear heat equations with subcritical nonlinearity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 53(2):453–514, 2004.

[24] J. Glimm and A. Jaffe. *Quantum Physics, a functional integral point of view.* Springer-Verlag, second edition, 1987.

[25] M. A. Herrero and J. J. L. Velázquez. Generic behaviour of one-dimensional blow up patterns. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 19(3):381–450, 1992.

[26] M. A. Herrero and J. J. L. Velázquez. Blow-up behaviour of one-dimensional semilinear parabolic equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 10(2):131–189, 1993.

[27] T. Hida. *Brownian motion*, volume 11 of *Applications of Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980. Translated from the Japanese by the author and T. P. Speed.

[28] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, volume 113 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.

[29] H. A. Levine. Some nonexistence and instability theorems for solutions of formally parabolic equations of the form $Pu_t = -Au + F(u)$. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 51:371–386, 1973.

[30] H. A. Levine. The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems. *SIAM Rev.*, 32(2):262–288, 1990.

[31] F. Merle. Solution of a nonlinear heat equation with arbitrarily given blow-up points. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45(3):263–300, 1992.

[32] F. Merle and H. Zaag. Reconnection of vortex with the boundary and finite time quenching. *Nonlinearity*, 10(6):1497–1550, 1997.

[33] F. Merle and H. Zaag. Stability of the blow-up profile for equations of the type $u_t = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u$. *Duke Math. J.*, 86(1):143–195, 1997.

[34] F. Merle and H. Zaag. Optimal estimates for blowup rate and behavior for nonlinear heat equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 51(2):139–196, 1998.

[35] F. Merle and H. Zaag. Refined uniform estimates at blow-up and applications for nonlinear heat equations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 8(6):1043–1085, 1998.

[36] F. Merle and H. Zaag. A Liouville theorem for vector-valued nonlinear heat equations and applications. *Math. Ann.*, 316(1):103–137, 2000.

[37] J. Ockendon, S. Howison, A. Lacey, and A. Movchan. *Applied partial differential equations*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, revised edition, 2003.

[38] G. Perelman. On the formation of singularities in solutions of the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 2(4):605–673, 2001.

[39] P. Quittner. Blow-up for semilinear parabolic equations with a gradient term. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 14(6):413–417, 1991.

[40] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I. Functional analysis*. Academic Press, New York, 1972.

[41] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. II. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1975.

[42] B. Simon. *Functional integration and quantum physics*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, second edition, 2005.

[43] H. M. Soner and P. E. Souganidis. Singularities and uniqueness of cylindrically symmetric surfaces moving by mean curvature. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 18(5-6):859–894, 1993.

[44] P. Souplet. Contributions *à l'é*tude des *é*quations paraboliques non lin*é*aires et de quelques autres *é*quations d'*é*volution. *Habilitation Université de Paris XIII*, 1998.

[45] J. J. L. Velázquez. Higher-dimensional blow up for semilinear parabolic equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 17(9-10):1567–1596, 1992.

[46] F. B. Weissler. Single point blow-up for a semilinear initial value problem. *J. Differential Equations*, 55(2):204–224, 1984.

[47] F. B. Weissler. An L^∞ blow-up estimate for a nonlinear heat equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 38(3):291–295, 1985.